REVIEW ON CRITICAL PROCESS PARAMETER FOR SELECTION OF MOBILE PHASE FOR HPLC

[1]Dube Dnyaneshwari, [2]Kolhe Nayan, [3]Dube Vaishnavi, [4]Mahesh Deshpande, [5]A. T. Varungase

Amrutvahini College of Pharmacy, Sangamner, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India.
Yashavantrao Chavan Institute of Science (Autonomous), Satara, Maharashtra, India.
S. N. Arts, D. J. Malpani Commerce and B. N. Sarda Science College, (Autonomous) Sangamner Maharashtra, India.

Abstract- **In HPLC (High-Performance Liquid Chromatography), the mobile phase comprises either pure or mixed diluters, sometimes through solid modifiers. Chromatographers have a plethora of diluters options for various HPLC applications. The selection process is influenced by diluters properties such as viscosity, refractive index, miscibility, toxicity, transparency, nonlethal and more. Obtainability in the industry at a realistic cost and high purity is also crucial. The diluters composition, particularly the percentage of carbon-based diluters in the moveable phase, directly impacts the retention time (tR) of analytes. A general guideline in Reversed-Phase Liquid Chromatography (RPLC) suggests that a 10% reduction in carbon-based diluters content leads to roughly a threefold increase in retention time. When separating acidic or basic samples, it's highly recommended to adjust the moveable phase pH by addition of a buffer. Selecting a suitable buffer involves considerations such as buffer capacity, solubility, interaction with samples or columns, and the risk of corrosion to HPLC equipment. Typically, a buffer concentration ranging from 10 to 50 millimeter suffices for utmost reversed-phase applications. During mobile phase preparation, diluters mixing involves measuring and combining each diluter sequentially in the reservoir. It's crucial to adjust the buffered moveable phase pH before addition of carbon-based diluters to minimize ambiguity regarding the final pH due to diluters addition. While this method introduces some uncertainty, it's preferable to the potential reproducibility issues associated with measuring pH after carbon-based diluters addition. Aqueous moveable phases comprising buffers should undergo filtration through a 0.2 micrometer membrane filter and degassing via vacuum filtration or sonication.**

Keywords: **HPLC, Solvent system, mobile phase.**

INTRODUCTION:

The moveable phase serves as the diluters responsible for transporting the analyte through the chromatographic column. Prior to injection into the chromatographic system, analytes are typically dissolved in a suitable diluter during analyte preparation. This diluter, often not measured a medium, does not affect with the examination. The choice of diluters for analyte solubilization during sample preparation can be tailored to meet detailed necessities such as volatility also compatibility through the moveable phase in HPLC [1,3].

In reversed-phase columns, the moveable phase is typically extra polar than the immobile phase. Generally, chromatographers prefer using a polar mobile phase as it tends to result in separations with improved reproducibility. [4]

The solubility of analytes in the moveable phase has a considerable influence on column selection. For chemicals that are soluble in water or partly aqueous solutions, a reversed-phase HPLC column is the obvious choice. However, for chemicals that are insoluble in diluters that are polar, a normal-phase column is frequently required. The polarity of the analyte, moveable phase and immobile phase is critical in column preparation for HPLC, where the mobile phase consists of organic or mixed diluters, occasionally with solid modifiers. [1,4,5,6].

In addition to polarity, various parameters have been developed to characterize how a specific diluter interacts with a particular immobile phase. Single parameter is known as the eluotropic strength (ε0).

The constant Ki for a definite compound "i" on a particular immobile phase when via a chosen solvent is expressed as follows:

$$\log Ki = n \log C + d(S0 - \text{Ɛ}0A)$$

Here, n represents the ratio of Ai to Am, where Ai and Am denote the zones employed on the adsorbent by the solute and the diluters, correspondingly.

CAs is a parameter that scales through the adjective superficial zone, d is specific to individually solid phase, S0 quantifies the adsorption energy of "i" on top of a particular adsorbent exterior, and ε0 signifies the eluotropic strength. In reversed-phase chromatography, it is communal to use a diluter with a higher polarity than the furthermost polar analyte. During gradient HPLC, the diluters' polarity steadily lowers until it is less polar than the sample's least polar component [7-12].

Selecting diluters for usage as a moveable phase in HPLC investigation is crucial for method development. There're no single universal diluters that can fulfil all application needs, so it's common to use a combination of diluters tailored to the specific analysis requirements. Choosing the right diluters depends on their physical characteristics also compatibility by means of both the analyte and the immobile phase of the column. This article explores several important factors that are essential in assessing the suitability of diluters for use as a moveable phase. [11-19].

DIFFERENT PARAMETERS FOR SELECTION OF DILUTERS SYSTEM: [20-28]

Price:

Cost is a significant factor in HPLC, given the requirement for high-purity grade diluters and the common occurrence of multiple HPLC systems operating continuously in large laboratories. This results in the consumption of substantial quantities of high-grade diluters, making cost considerations crucial.

Solubility:

Complete solubilizing capacity of the analyte in the moveable phase is essential. Even slight insolubility can lead to phase suspensions or separations, which may cause operative issues.

Absorbance:

HPLC sensors often utilize the absorption of light by sample components. It's crucial that the inherent absorbance of mobile phase constituents doesn't overlap with the sample absorption within the designated wavelength range. Ideally, the mobile phase diluters should show minimal absorbance at the relevant wavelength.

Volatility

The diluters used in the mobile phase should have low volatility, particularly when paired with light-scattering detectors. Diluters with high volatility can alter the mobile phase composition over time and during storage, which may result in chromatograms showing inadequate reproducibility.

Viscosity:

It's important for the chosen diluters to have low viscosity to prevent the buildup of high back pressures as they flow through the column.

Inertness:

It's crucial that the selected diluters don't interact with additives in the sample, the column packing, or the column material. If they do, it could result in the formation of precipitates, gases, or other reaction by-products, which might interfere with the system's performance. Furthermore, the diluters shouldn't separate into different phases upon contact with the sample; in simpler terms, they need to fully mix together.

Water:

Water plays a crucial role in the composition of reversed-phase mobile phases, whether used alongside other diluters or as a buffering agent, the diluters should meet the specified criteria. Commercially available water purification systems ensure the quality of water for HPLC applications. It's important to utilize the water produced by such systems promptly to prevent degradation in quality over time.

Given the high sensitivity of HPLC methodology to fluctuations in the mobile phase composition detected, it becomes imperative to filter diluters using a 0.45µm filter to eradicate solid suspensions. Furthermore, degassing becomes indispensable for eliminating dissolved air, which might otherwise result in flow constraints or the emergence of false peaks.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS: [29-41]

Diluters selection is typically influenced by various characteristics such as transparency, viscosity, toxicity, non-corrosiveness, miscibility, refractive index, and more. Additionally, the availability of diluters in sufficient purity and at a reasonable cost is also a crucial consideration. [29, 34, 35]. Numerous traits of diluters used in HPLC cellular levels are debated as under:

a) Purity:

Entirely diluters must meet stringent criteria for transparency and excellence. HPLC rating diluters undergo pre-filtration and purification to ensure negligible UV absorption, eliminating contaminants that could cause erroneous peaks in chromatogram baselines. Water used for buffer preparation must adhere to the highest purity standards. While deionized water may contain trace impurities, it is not typically recommended for HPLC applications. However, transferring deionized water over an ion exchange bed yields ultra-pure HPLC water with a resistivity of 18 MΩ. This purification method is employed in state-of-the-art water purification systems to generate large quantities of high-quality water. Alternatively, HPLC rating water can be obtained as of diluters suppliers.

b) Viscosity:

In order to uphold a suitable stress drop (<2500 psi) and achieve a cost-effective flow rate through the column, it's crucial to minimize the viscosity of the mobile phase. Lower viscosity levels lead to narrower chromatographic peaks. Acetonitrile is a popular choice as a diluter in reversed-phase HPLC due to its lower viscosity compared to methanol or

isopropanol, coupled with its favourable retention properties. The desk contains viscosity values for various common diluters.

Diluters	UV cut-of	Viscosity	Refractive	Boiling Point	Miscibility	Elution Strength (E^0)	
	(nm)		index (20^0C)	(^{0}C)	number (M)	Alumina	C_{18}
Acetone	330	0.36	1.36	56.3	15	0.56	8.8
Acetonitrile	190	0.38	1.34	81.6	11	0.65	3.1
Chloroform	245	0.57	1.44	61.15	19	0.4	…
Methanol	205	0.55	1.33	64.7	12	0.95	1
Toluene	284	0.59	1.49	110.6	23	0.29	…
Trifluoroacetic acid	210	0.93	1.28	71.8	…	…	…
Water	190	1	1.33	100	…	…	…

Table 1.: Viscosity of some commonly used diluters. [37, 38, 40]

c) Refractive Index:

While the refractive index detectors are existence employed, this is an essential variable for cellular section selection. The RI values for certain pure diluters are recorded in the desk.

d) Boiling factor:

The point of boiling is critical for pattern healing. A less flash point diluters is simpler to eliminate from the design.

e) Non-corrosiveness:

The diluters essential to be non-corrosive to HPLC equipment parts.

f) Toxicity:

At the present time, there's widespread concern regarding the healthiness and protection hazards correlated with laboratory chemical substances. Tetrahydrofuran is available in two forms: unstabilized and stabilized. The unstabilized variant poses an explosion risk if completely evaporated and readily decomposes unless stored beneath nitrogen. Conversely, the steadied form demonstrates high UV absorbance at the standard UV wavelengths commonly meant for recognition.

g) Miscibility:

Now, not altogether HPLC diluters are mixable. When immiscible diluters are combined, a few problems may arise, including a dangerous baseline, variable pressures, excessive strain, and so on. Table.1 provides miscibility data for certain diluters [34]. Diluters pairs with M values differing by 15 units or less are completely mixable at all ratios at 150 degrees Celsius. Conversely, a variance of 17 units or further indicates incompatible. For instance, if hexane has an M value of 29 and acetonitrile has an M value of 11, the alteration is 29 - 11 = 18, indicating that the diluters will no longer be soluble in entirely ratios. Isopropanol mixes well with the majority of used HPLC diluters. As a result, it might be utilized to flush the HPLC flow channel if the remaining diluters machine employed was questionable.

Transparency (UV-reduce off):

The mobile phase component, devoid of any specific pattern, should emit radiation at the necessary wavelength for detection. According to one study, the absorbance of the moveable phase at the detection wavelength should typically be below 0.5. [37].

Another critical factor involves the routine combination of organic diluters, water, and additives typically utilized alongside detection at 200 nm (with A<0.5). It's essential to avoid using diluters near or below their UV cut-off when employing a UV detector to prevent unacceptable levels of noise. For illustration, when examining a substance at 220 nm, acetonitrile is favoured over methanol due to its lower UV cut-off, which enhances overall detection performance.

CONCLUSION:

This article makes available an outline of the key factors to consider when selecting diluters for reverse phase HPLC applications. The polarity of the analyte, immobile phase, likewise diluters system is all crucial in determining column preference. In reversed-phase HPLC, a polar moveable phase is generally favored for its reproducible separations. Various diluters properties such as transparency, mixability, viscidness, refractive index, toxicity, are deliberated for different diluters systems.

To achieve ultra-pure HPLC water with 18 MΩ resistivity, deionized water undergoes purification through an ion exchange bed. Combining immiscible diluters can lead to issues like unstable baselines, fluctuating pressures, and excessive pressure. Absorbance at different wavelengths is mentioned for various diluters and components used in reversed-phase HPLC to assess transparency (UV cut-off).

In RPLC, diluters strength increases as polarity decreases. The mobile phase in iso-elutionic conditions yields identical acceptable values. A practical guideline in RPLC states that a 10% reduction in the naturally occurring diluters in the moveable phase leads to a threefold improvement in resolution.

Buffered mobile phases are utilized in liquid chromatography when the analyte comprises acidic or basic moieties. The robustness of the HPLC technique heavily relies on mobile phase pH and proper buffer assortment. Buffers must be used within 1.0 pH unit of their pKa to maintain buffering effectiveness. Buffer concentrations of 10-20 µM are recommended to minimize pump seal abrasion and improve peak symmetry.

The diluters preparation process includes premixing, degassing, pH extent, and filtration. Mobile phase premixing involves individually measuring each diluter and blending them in the diluter's reservoir. The pH of the aqueous buffer should be determined before blending with organic diluters. Common acids used for moveable phase preparation include phosphoric acid, acetic acid, and formic acid. To prevent baseline shifts during gradient runs, it's advisable to use equal amounts of acid in both aqueous and organic portions of the moveable phase. Filtration and degassing of all mobile phase components are carried out using 0.45 or 0.2 µm membrane filters, with cellulose acetate screens for aqueous diluters and PTFE or nylon filters for organic diluters. Degassing can be accomplished through vacuum filtering or sonication.

REFERENCES:

1. Rao BV,Sowjanya GN,Ajitha A, Rao Uma MV. A review on stability indicating HPLC method development,World journal of pharmacy and pharmaceutical sciences.2015; 4(8):405-423.
2. Rajan HV. Development and validation of HPLC method - A Review.International Journal of current research in pharmacy. 2015;1(2):55-68.
3 Kumar V, Bharadwaj R, Gupta G, Kumar S. An Overview on HPLC Method Development, Optimization and Validation process for drug analysis. The Pharmaceutical and Chemical Journal. 2015; 2(2):30-40.
4. Gupta V, Jain AD, Gill NS, Gupta K. Development and validation of HPLC method - a review. International Research Journal of Pharmaceutical and Applied Sciences. 2012; 2(4):17-25.
5. Sonia K, Nappinnai M. Development and validation of HPLC and UV-visible spectrophotometric method for the pharmaceutical dosage form and biological fluid –review. European Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical sciences. 2016; 3(3): 382-391.
6. Sánchez MLF. Chromatographic techniques, European RTN Project, GLADNET, retrieved on 05-09-2013.
7. Snyder LR, Kirkland JJ, Glach JL. Practical HPLC Method Development, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1997; 158-192.
8. Dong M.W., Modern HPLC for Practicing Scientists, Wiley- Interscience publisher, New York (2006) 27-33,111-119.
9. Rao G, Goyal A. An Overview on Analytical Method Development and Validation by Using HPLC. The Pharmaceutical and Chemical Journal, 2016; 3(2): 280-289.
10. McpolinOona.an Introduction to HPLC for Pharmaceutical Analysis. Mourne Training Service. 11-12.
11. Moldoveanu S and David V. Sample preparation in chromatography, Journal of Chromatography Library-volume 65 (2002) 182-190.
12. Snyder L.R., Kirkland J.J. and Glajch J.L. Practical HPLC Method Development, 2nd Edition, Wiley-Interscience publisher, New York (1998) 68-70,721-728.
13. Charde MS, Welankiwar AS and Kumar J. Method development by liquid chromatography with validation.International Journal of Pharmaceutical Chemistry.2014; 4(2):57-61.
14. Ranjit singh. HPLC method development and validation. J Pharm Educ Res2013; 4(1): 26-33.
15. Sabir AM, Molony M,Parminder SB. HPLC Method Development and validation: A Review. International research Journal of pharmacy. 2013; 4(4):39-46.
16. Agilent Technologies, Student Manual - Practical High Performance Liquid Chromatography, Course Number H5930A, USA (2001) 25-28.
17. Ornaf R.M. and Dong M.W. (2005), Key concepts of HPLC in Pharmaceutical Analysis In Ahuja S. and Dong M.W., Handbook of Pharmaceutical Analysis by HPLC, Elsevier Inc, 35-38.
18. Tindall G.W., Dolan J.W., Mobile Phase Buffers Part-II, LC.GC Europe, (2003) 2-4.
19. Rosario LoBrutto (2007), Reversed-Phasse HPLC. In Yuri Kazakevich and Rosario LoBrutto, HPLC for Pharmaceutical Scientists (pp158-160). John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
20. Column troubleshooting, ACE Newsletter 2, Advanced Chromatography Technologies.

21. Mac-Mod Analytical Inc. Technical report, Number 02091 TR.
22. Yuri Kazakevich and Rosario LoBrutto, HPLC for Pharmaceutical Scientists, John Wiley and Sons, Inc (2007) 375- 380.
23. Dong M.W. (2005), How to be more successful with HPLC analysis: Practical aspects in HPLC operation In S. Ahuja, M.W.Dong, Handbook of Pharmaceutical Analysis by HPLC, Elsevier Inc, 256-258.
24. Malviya R, Bansal V, Palo P, and Sharma PK. High-Performance Liquid Chromatography: A Short Review. Journal of Global Pharma Technology. 2010; 2(5):22-23.
25. Miller JM. Chromatography concepts and contrasts. 2nd ed. New Jersey. 2005:35-182.
26. Rao BV,Sowjanya GN,Ajitha A, Rao Uma MV. A review on stability-indicating HPLC method development, World journal of pharmacy and pharmaceutical sciences.2015; 4(8):405-423.
27. Lin G, et al. Determination of sodium Tanshinoneiia sulfonate in rat plasma by high-performance liquid chromatography and its application to pharmacokinetic studies. Pharm Anal Acta. 2015;6:383
28. Analytical chemistry-Christian-2009:347. [9] High-performance liquid chromatography- P. D. Sethi.
29. Elshanawane AA, et al. Development and validation of HPLC method for simultaneous estimation of brimonidine tartrate and timolol maleate in a bulk and pharmaceutical dosage form. J Chromatograph Separat Techniq. 2014;5:230.
30. Mustafa S, et al. An improved high-performance liquid chromatographic method for tryptophan analysis in rat brain administrated by seaweed. J Anal Bioanal Tech. 2014;5:188.
31. Caglar S and Alp AR. A validated high-performance liquid chromatography method for the determination of saxagliptin and metformin in bulk, a stability-indicating study. J Anal Bioanal Tech. 2014; S12:010
32. Abdallah MA. Validated stability-indicating HPLC and thin layer densitometric methods for the determination of pazufloxacin: application to the pharmaceutical formulation and degradation kinetics. J Chromatograph Separat Techniq. 2014;5:218.
33. Shah I, et al. A novel method for determination of Fenofibric acid in human plasma using HPLC-UV: application to a pharmacokinetic study of new formulations. J Anal Bioanal Tech. 2014; S12:009.
34. Gurupadayya BM and Disha NS. Stability indicating HPLC method for the simultaneous determination of ceftriaxone and vancomycin in pharmaceutical formulation. J Chromatograph Separat Techniq. 2013;4:207.
35. Shintani H. HPLC separation of amino acids is appropriate? Pharmaceut Anal Acta. 2013;4:e158. [18] . Badgujar DC, et al. Pathogenicity of mutations discovered in BRCA1 BRCT domains is characterized by destabilizing the hydrophobic interactions. J Cancer SciTher. 2012;4:386-393.
36. Ukuku DO, et al. Effect of thermal and radio-frequency electric fields treatments on Escherichia coli bacteria in apple juice. J MicrobBiochem Technol. 2012;4:76-81.
37. Qiao G, et al. Modified a colony-forming unit microbial adherence to hydrocarbons assay and evaluated cell surface hydrophobicity and biofilm production of vibrio Scophthalmi. J Bacteriol Parasitol. 2012;3:130
38. Pandarinath P, et al. A Python-based hydrophilicity plot to assess the exposed and buried regions of a protein. J Proteomics Bioinform. 2011;4:145-146.
39. Lu M, et al. Hydrophobic fractionation enhances novel protein detection by mass spectrometry in triple-negative breast cancer. J Proteomics Bioinform. 2010;3:029-038.
40. Shah DA, et al. Simultaneous estimation of pregabalin and Methylcobalamine in pharmaceutical formulation by HPTLC-densitometry method. J Chromat Separation Techniq. 2013;4:169.
41. Rao G, Goyal A. An Overview on Analytical Method Development and Validation by Using HPLC. The Pharmaceutical and Chemical Journal, 2016; 3(2): 280-289.