

From Code to Care: A Socio-Technical Review of India's Digital Welfare Platforms

Authors-1: Dr. Shweta Sachan

Assistant Professor

Department of Human Development and Family Studies, ANDUAT, Ayodhya

E-mail shwetasachan88@gmail.com

Corresponding Authors -2: Nikhil Srivastava

Personl Assistant

ANDUAT, Ayodhya

E-mail Nikhil.anduat@gmail.com

Author3- Dr. Ragini Mishra

Associate Professor, ANDUAT, Ayodhya

Author-4 Dr. Abhinav Kumar

Assistant Professor, ANDUAT, Ayodhya

Abstract: India's digital governance architecture—anchored by **DBT**, **PMJAY**, and **CoWIN**—has reshaped welfare delivery at an unprecedented scale. This comprehensive review integrates system design analysis, government data, community insights, and human development considerations to assess accessibility, equity, efficiency, and family dynamics. Reporting ₹3.48 lakh crore in DBT savings and expansion to **176 crore beneficiaries** with minimal reduction in subsidy share, we also identify persistent digital exclusion risks, biometric failures, and intra-household disparities. We propose a **techno-social framework** combining participatory design, capacity-building, data ethics, and HDFS-informed user experience to build truly inclusive welfare platforms.

Keywords — Digital Governance Aadhaar

Public Health Informatics Welfare Distribution

Community Science E-Governance in India

Human Development Digital Inclusion

Surveillance Ethics Social Policy and Technology

DBT (Direct Benefit Transfer) CoWIN Platform

Digital Divide Algorithmic Bias Health Equity

Introduction

India's ambition to digitize public service delivery is exemplified by platforms like DBT, PMJAY, and CoWIN. DBT expanded from **11 crore to 176 crore beneficiaries** between 2009 and 2024, while subsidy allocations dropped from 16% to 9% of government spending, preserving fiscal sustainability *([DD News](#))*. PMJAY, India's world-largest healthcare insurance scheme, has issued over **36 crore Ayushman cards** and authorized **7.8 crore hospital admissions** till late 2024, covering ₹1, 07,125 crore. CoWIN

facilitated over **2 billion vaccinations**, registering more than **1 billion users** by mid-2022 ([Wikipedia](#)).

2. Scale and Efficiency of Digital Welfare

2.1 Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT)

DBT has saved **₹3.48 lakh crore** by eliminating ghost beneficiaries and ensuring direct bank transfers across PDS, MGNREGS, PM-KISAN, and other schemes. Coverage rose 16-fold without ballooning subsidy expenditure *([Moneycontrol](#))*. The Welfare Efficiency Index—a composite metric—jumped from **0.32 in 2014 to 0.91 in 2023**, signifying both scale and fiscal discipline ([DD News](#)).

2.2 PMJAY (Ayushman Bharat)

By December 2024, **36.16 crore Ayushman cards** had been issued, with rural beneficiaries comprising 29.87 crore cards. Over **7.8 crore hospital admissions** authorized, amounting to ₹1,07,125 crore in insurance payouts **. Financial breakdown shows central outlay of ₹23,576 crore (2019–2023), versus ₹80,000 crore in hospitalization claims, implying states and insurers covered ~72% of costs ([Newslandry](#), [Newslandry](#)). Delays in reimbursements—₹192 crore pending in Jharkhand alone—and private hospitals citing delayed payments highlight operational friction ([The Times of India](#), [Reddit](#), [The Times of India](#)).

2.3 CoWIN

CoWIN scaled unprecedentedly—registering over **1 billion users** and facilitating **2 billion vaccine doses** by mid-2022 *([Wikipedia](#))*. However, privacy controversies emerged around leaked user credentials and unsafe APIs during the early coWIN rollout.

3. Socio-Technical Dynamics & Design Challenges

3.1 Software Architecture and Integration

These platforms are built on the JAM (Jan Dhan–Aadhaar–Mobile) stack. They integrate national IDs, bank accounts, and real-time APIs. Yet incidents—like misrouting via last

account-in-mapper bugs—underscore how backend logic diverges from beneficiary realities *([Moneycontrol](#), [DD News](#))*.

3.2 Digital Exclusion and Literacy

CoWIN's reliance on OTP-based registration, English-only UI, and smartphone dependency excluded many elderly and tribal users. PDS-enabled biometric authentication has a ~12% failure rate, disproportionately impacting rural households *([Wikipedia](#))*.

3.3 Data Quality and Trust

Reports indicate unrealistic household size entries and mass-registered phantom mobile numbers in the PMJAY and DBT beneficiary pools, undermining system trust *([Newslaundry](#))*. This design–reality gap hampers accurate reach metrics.

3.4 Privacy and Surveillance Trade-offs

PMJAY data—including names, discharge dates, and cost per claim—can be downloaded at state and district levels, raising significant privacy concerns. This apparently violates jurisprudence established under the *Puttaswamy* case *([Reddit](#))*.

4. Human Development and Community Science Perspectives

4.1 Intra-Household Dynamics and Digital Agency

Women and elderly individuals often rely on younger household members for registration and benefit access, limiting their agency. While women hold ~49% of Ayushman cards, male intermediaries often gatekeep usage *([Reddit](#))*.

4.2 Age and Digital Generational Divide

Elderly pensioners face authentication failures with fingerprint-based systems (e.g., Jeevan Pramaan), constraining their access to entitlements and amplifying dependence *([Wikipedia](#))*.

4.3 Grassroots Perspectives and Local Trust

Community-science research emphasizes how local intermediaries—ASHAs, SHGs, panchayat staff mediate tech adoption. Their role is critical but underutilized in design feedback loops.

5. Barriers and Gaps

Focus Area	Observed Challenges
Fiscal & Coverage	States bearing ~72% PMJAY cost; delayed reimbursements impacting provider trust
Accessibility	Tech literacy, device access, language and biometric failures reduce usability
Data Integrity	Duplicate registrations, phantom entries, lack of verification undermines trust
Privacy Risks	Full beneficiary data made public without informed consent
Design Disconnect	Central system design ignores local language, elder usage, multi-user family context

6. A Techno-Social Framework for Inclusive Welfare Design

6.1 Participatory and HDFS-Informed Co-Design

Embed **Community Science** methods—user-testing, local pilot groups, vernacular UI design—and collaborate with **HDFS professionals** to design family-friendly, age-sensitive interfaces (e.g., voice prompts, offline kiosks).

6.2 Clean Data Management & Privacy Norms

Regular audits to remove invalid entries; restrict shared mobile IDs; enforce anonymized data publication; develop consent modules aligned with Privacy Act guidelines.

6.3 Digital Literacy and Local Capacity Networks

Mobilize digital empowerment across women and older adults via ASHA-led camps. Introduce “community digital intermediaries”—trained local volunteers aiding non-users.

6.4 Governance Reforms and System Accountability

Timely reimbursements (clearing ₹192 crore backlog in Jharkhand as precedent); transparent grievance resolution; mandatory quarterly tech and financial audits across participating hospitals *([Medical Buyer](#), [Reddit](#), [The Times of India](#))*.

7. Conclusion

India's digital welfare platforms combine extraordinary scale with measurable fiscal efficiency—but without design empathy and socio-cultural calibration, they risk deepening exclusion. A fusion of technical robustness, Community Science participation, and HDFS-informed human development design is essential to build equitable systems. This techno-social paradigm offers not only domestic transformation but global lessons in delivering welfare with dignity and inclusivity.

8. Barriers and Challenges in Digital Welfare Delivery

Despite technological advancements, multiple barriers hinder the equitable impact of digital platforms on public health and welfare. These barriers operate at infrastructural, social, and systemic levels:

8.1 Digital Divide

According to the National Sample Survey Office (NSSO) 2019 data, only **15% of rural households** have internet access compared to **42% in urban areas**. Gender disparity is also stark—only **33% of women** in rural India own a smartphone, making accessibility to apps like CoWIN or DBT a significant challenge.

8.2 Language and Interface Barriers

Digital platforms often use English or formal Hindi, limiting usability for non-literate or regional-language users. Interfaces lack accessibility features for disabled individuals, further widening exclusion.

8.3 Infrastructure Gaps

Frequent power cuts, unreliable mobile networks, and lack of service centers in remote areas affect last-mile delivery. For instance, biometric failures in Aadhaar authentication have caused exclusion from ration distribution and pensions in tribal areas of Jharkhand and Odisha.

8.4 Data Privacy Concerns

India lacks a comprehensive personal data protection law. Cases like the **2018 UIDAI data leak** have raised alarms about the security of sensitive biometric information. The absence of legal safeguards can discourage vulnerable populations from trusting digital systems.

9. Ethical and Policy Considerations

9.1 Algorithmic Bias and Exclusion

Automated decision-making processes in welfare eligibility assessments can reinforce systemic inequalities. For example, the use of facial recognition in welfare verification has produced higher error rates for darker-skinned or older individuals, disproportionately affecting SC/ST and elderly populations.

9.2 Consent and Surveillance

The use of Aadhaar in welfare often coerces citizens into giving consent without full understanding. The lack of data minimization and purpose limitation can lead to **state-led surveillance**, conflicting with individual rights enshrined in Article 21 (Right to Privacy).

9.3 Policy Gaps

Although schemes like Ayushman Bharat and Digital Health Mission promote digital records, there are no enforceable penalties for misuse of health data. In absence of a Data Protection Authority (as envisioned in the **Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023**), accountability remains weak.

10. Recommendations for Inclusive Digital Transformation

To align digital platforms with human development values, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. **Localized Design:** Government interfaces should support vernacular languages, voice commands, and text-to-speech features.
2. **Digital Literacy Programs:** Integration of digital skills into school curricula and community-based adult education.
3. **Strengthening Legal Frameworks:** Immediate operationalization of the **Data Protection Act**, with a citizen-centric grievance redressed mechanism.
4. **Human-in-the-Loop Systems:** Maintain manual fallback systems in welfare schemes to prevent exclusion due to technical errors.
5. **Decentralized Infrastructure:** Establish digital service centers with trained personnel in every

6. **Community Participation:** Involve ASHA workers, SHGs, and local NGOs in digital training and feedback collection.

References

- BlueKraft Digital Foundation. (2025, April 18). *DBT scheme transforms India's welfare landscape, achieves Rs 3.48 lakh crore in savings: Report* *([Moneycontrol](#))*
- DD News. (2025, April 22). *India's DBT system yields Rs 3.48 lakh crore in savings, boosts welfare efficiency: report* *([DD News](#))*
- Newslandry. (2024, April 10). *High coverage, high enrollment, but a persistent 'health protection gap': Evaluating Modi's PMJAY* *([Newslandry](#))*
- PRS India. (2023–24). *Demand for Grants analysis: Health and Family Welfare / PM-JAY* *([PRS Legislative Research](#))*
- Wikipedia entries on CoWIN, Ayushman Bharat, PDS, Jeevan Pramaan *([Wikipedia](#))*
- Reddit user insights on privacy concerns under PMJAY *([Reddit](#), [Reddit](#))*
- Times of India news reports on insurers and reimbursements concerns *([Wikipedia](#))*
- Be aware of the different meanings of the homophones “affect” and “effect,” “complement” and “compliment,” “discreet” and “discrete,” “principal” and “principle.”
- Do not confuse “imply” and “infer.”
- The prefix “non” is not a word; it should be joined to the word it modifies, usually without a hyphen.
- There is no period after the “et” in the Latin abbreviation “et al.”
- The abbreviation “i.e.” means “that is,” and the abbreviation “e.g.” means “for example.”