Mobile Payment Adoption and its Impact on Consumer Buying Habits: A Case Study of Sweet Marts in Pune City

Abstract:

This research paper investigates the adoption of mobile payment systems among consumers and sweet mart owners, and its subsequent impact on consumer buying habits within the specific context of sweet marts in Pune City. With the rapid proliferation of digital payment infrastructure, particularly Unified Payments Interface (UPI) in India, traditional retail sectors like sweet marts are undergoing significant transformations. This study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative surveys with qualitative interviews of consumers and sweet mart owners. The aim is to identify the drivers and barriers to mobile payment adoption, analyse changes in purchase frequency, average transaction value, and product selection, and understand the perceived benefits and challenges. Preliminary insights suggest a growing reliance on mobile payments, leading to increased convenience, reduced cash dependency, and potential shifts in impulsive buying behaviour. The findings will provide valuable insights for sweet mart owners, payment service providers, and policymakers to further foster digital payment ecosystems in traditional retail environments.

Keywords: Mobile Payment Adoption, Consumer Buying Habits, Sweet Marts, Pune City, UPI, Digital Payments, Traditional Retail, Consumer Behaviour.

1. Introduction

The global financial landscape has witnessed a dramatic shift towards digital transactions, largely driven by advancements in mobile technology. India, in particular, has emerged as a frontrunner in this digital payment revolution, primarily propelled by the success of the Unified Payments Interface (UPI). Launched in 2016, UPI has democratized digital payments, making them accessible and convenient for millions, from large retailers to street vendors. This paradigm shift has profound implications for consumer buying habits and the operational dynamics of businesses across various sectors.

Traditional retail segments, often characterized by high cash transactions, are now increasingly embracing mobile payment solutions. Among these, sweet marts or "mithai shops" hold a unique cultural and commercial significance in Indian society. They are integral to festivals, celebrations, and daily indulgences, attracting a diverse customer base with varying payment preferences. Pune, a bustling metropolitan city known for its educational institutions, IT sector, and vibrant culture, presents an ideal microcosm to study the evolving interplay between technological adoption and traditional commerce.

While numerous studies have explored mobile payment adoption in general retail or e-commerce, there is a distinct research gap concerning its specific impact on highly traditional, often cash-dominated, and culturally significant businesses like sweet marts. Understanding how mobile payments influence purchasing patterns – such as impulse buying, frequency of visits, and average transaction size – within this niche is crucial for both theoretical understanding of consumer behavior and practical implications for local businesses.

1.1. Research Problem Statement

Despite the widespread adoption of mobile payment systems in India, particularly UPI, the specific impact on consumer buying habits within traditional retail sectors like sweet marts in urban centers like Pune remains underexplored. There is a need to understand the extent of mobile payment adoption by both consumers and sweet mart owners, the drivers and barriers influencing this adoption, and the subsequent changes observed in consumer purchasing patterns (e.g., frequency, value, product choice) in these establishments.

1.2. Research Questions

This study aims to address the following key research questions:

1. What is the current level of mobile payment adoption among consumers visiting sweet marts and among sweet mart owners in Pune City?
2. What are the primary drivers and barriers influencing the adoption and continued use of mobile payment systems by both consumers and sweet mart owners?
3. How has the availability and use of mobile payment options influenced consumer buying habits in sweet marts, specifically concerning purchase frequency, average transaction value, and the likelihood of impulse purchases?
4. What are the perceived benefits and challenges of mobile payment integration for sweet mart owners in Pune?
5. Are there demographic or psychographic factors (e.g., age, income, tech-savviness) that significantly correlate with mobile payment usage and resulting changes in buying habits among sweet mart patrons?

1.3. Research Objectives

Based on the research questions, the objectives of this study are:

1. To assess the prevalence and frequency of mobile payment usage among consumers and acceptance among sweet marts in Pune.
2. To identify the key factors (e.g., convenience, security, promotions, peer influence, trust) that encourage or hinder mobile payment adoption.
3. To analyze the relationship between mobile payment usage and changes in consumer buying habits, focusing on basket size, frequency of visits, and tendency for impulse buys.
4. To document the operational benefits (e.g., reduced cash handling, increased sales) and challenges (e.g., network issues, technological literacy) faced by sweet mart owners due to mobile payment integration.
5. To provide actionable recommendations for sweet mart owners, payment service providers, and policymakers to enhance mobile payment ecosystems and cater to evolving consumer preferences.

1.4. Significance of the Study

This research holds significant value for multiple stakeholders:

- **Sweet Mart Owners:** Provides insights into changing customer behaviors and the potential to leverage mobile payments for increased sales and operational efficiency.
- **Mobile Payment Service Providers:** Helps understand user preferences, pain points, and opportunities for product refinement and market penetration in traditional retail.
- **Consumers:** Highlights the evolving convenience and choices available in their daily purchase decisions.
- **Academicians/Researchers:** Contributes to the growing body of literature on mobile payment adoption, consumer behavior, and the digital transformation of traditional small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in emerging economies.
- **Policymakers:** Offers data-driven insights for formulating policies that support digital inclusion and economic growth through cashless transactions.

1.5. Structure of the Paper

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a review of relevant literature on mobile payment adoption and consumer buying habits. Section 3 details the research methodology employed in the study. Section 4 discusses the anticipated results and provides a framework for their analysis. Section 5 presents a discussion of the findings in relation to existing literature and the research questions. Finally, Section 6 concludes the study, outlines its implications, and suggests avenues for future research.

---

2. Literature Review

This section reviews existing literature related to mobile payment adoption, consumer buying habits, and the digitalization of traditional retail, laying the theoretical foundation for the study.

2.1. Theoretical Frameworks of Technology Adoption

Several theoretical models explain technology adoption. Key among them are:

- **Technology Acceptance Model (TAM):** Developed by Davis (1989), TAM posits that perceived usefulness (the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system would enhance his or her job performance) and perceived ease of use (the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system would be free of effort) are fundamental determinants of user acceptance. In the context of mobile payments, convenience and efficiency are key aspects of perceived usefulness, while simplicity of the app interface relates to perceived ease of use.
- **Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT):** Proposed by Venkatesh et al. (2003), UTAUT integrates elements from various models to explain technology acceptance. It identifies four key constructs: Performance Expectancy (perceived benefits), Effort Expectancy (ease of use), Social Influence (peer pressure, recommendations), and Facilitating Conditions (resources and knowledge). In the sweet mart context, social influence could come from observing others use UPI, and facilitating conditions from merchant support or stable internet.
- **Diffusion of Innovations (DOI) Theory:** Rogers (2003) describes how, why, and at what rate new ideas and technology spread through cultures. Key attributes influencing adoption include relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability. For mobile payments, faster transactions (relative advantage) or integration with existing banking habits (compatibility) are crucial.

2.2. Mobile Payment Adoption: Global and Indian Context

Globally, the growth of mobile payments has been exponential, driven by smartphone penetration, internet access, and the desire for convenient transactions (Gomber et al., 2017). Factors influencing adoption include perceived security, trust in the payment system, perceived risk, cost, and government support (Kumar & Dhingra, 2017).

In India, UPI has been a game-changer. Its interoperability, real-time nature, and low transaction costs have propelled its mass adoption across various demographic segments. Studies in India highlight convenience, speed, security, and cashback offers as major drivers for consumers (Singh & Sinha, 2020). However, challenges like network connectivity, digital literacy, and concerns about data privacy sometimes act as barriers (Raman et al., 2019).

2.3. Mobile Payments and Consumer Buying Habits

The shift to mobile payments can influence various aspects of consumer buying behavior:

- **Purchase Frequency and Value:** Mobile payments can reduce the friction associated with cash transactions, potentially leading to more frequent, smaller purchases. This frictionless experience might also encourage consumers to spend more per transaction (Shin, 2009). The ease of paying for

small sums, previously cumbersome with cash (e.g., exact change), might lead to higher overall expenditure.

- **Impulse Buying:** Cash transactions involve a physical detachment of money, often acting as a psychological 'pain of paying' (Zellermayer et al., 2020). Digital payments, being less tangible, can reduce this pain, potentially increasing impulse purchases (Soman & Cheema, 2017). In sweet marts, this could translate to customers buying an extra sweet or savory snack due to the sheer ease of payment.
- **Product Selection:** While less direct, mobile payments might subtly influence choices if certain items are perceived as more convenient to buy digitally, or if promotions are tied to digital transactions.
- **Preference for Digital vs. Cash:** The convenience and sometimes promotional benefits (e.g., rewards, discounts) of mobile payments can shift consumer preference away from cash, even for traditional small-value transactions.

2.4. Digital Transformation in Traditional Retail and SMEs

Traditional small and medium enterprises (SMEs) often face unique challenges in adopting digital technologies, including limited resources, lack of technical expertise, and resistance to change (Gupta et al., 2020). However, the benefits – such as reduced cash handling costs, better inventory management, wider customer reach, and improved transaction records – are compelling (Morgan & Insch, 2009). For sweet marts, accepting mobile payments signals modernity, enhances customer convenience, and potentially attracts a younger, tech-savvy clientele. Concerns for sweet mart owners might include transaction fees, technical glitches, and training staff.

2.5. Research Gap

While the literature broadly covers mobile payment adoption and its impact on consumer behavior, there is a clear lacuna concerning its specific application to traditional, culturally embedded businesses like sweet marts. Existing studies often generalize across retail sectors or focus on large-scale e-commerce. A focused study on sweet marts in a specific urban context like Pune offers a nuanced understanding of how digital payments are reshaping the dynamics of a unique and significant segment of the Indian retail landscape. This study aims to fill this gap by empirically investigating the intersection of technology, culture, and commerce.

---

3. Research Methodology

This section outlines the research design, target population, sampling strategy, data collection instruments, and data analysis techniques employed in this study.

3.1. Research Design

This study will adopt a **mixed-methods research design**, combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches.

- **Quantitative research** will be used to assess the prevalence of mobile payment adoption and to identify correlations between mobile payment usage and changes in consumer buying habits (e.g., frequency, transaction value).
- **Qualitative research** will provide deeper insights into the drivers, barriers, perceived benefits, and challenges from the perspectives of both consumers and sweet mart owners. This integrated approach allows for a comprehensive understanding of the phenomenon.

The study will be primarily **descriptive and explanatory** in nature, aiming to describe the current state of mobile payment adoption and explain its impact.

3.2. Target Population and Sampling

- **Consumer Population:** Individuals who regularly purchase sweets from sweet marts in Pune City.
    - **Sampling Method: Convenience sampling** will be employed due to accessibility and practicality. Consumers will be approached at various sweet mart locations across Pune (e.g., popular areas like Deccan, Kothrud, Kalyani Nagar) during different times of the day to ensure some diversity.
    - **Sample Size:** An estimated sample size of **300-400 consumers** is targeted to ensure statistical significance for quantitative analysis.
- **Sweet Mart Owner/Manager Population:** Owners or managers of established sweet marts in various localities of Pune City.
    - **Sampling Method: Purposive sampling** will be used to select sweet marts that have adopted mobile payment systems and those that still primarily rely on cash, to gain a balanced perspective. Efforts will be made to include sweet marts of varying sizes (small, medium, large).
    - **Sample Size:** A target of **20-30 sweet mart owners/managers** for qualitative interviews.

3.3. Data Collection Instruments

- **For Consumers (Quantitative): Structured Survey Questionnaire**
    - The questionnaire will be designed using a Likert scale (e.g., 1=Strongly Disagree to 5=Strongly Agree) and multiple-choice questions.
    - **Sections:**
        - **Demographic Information:** Age, gender, occupation, income level.
        - **Mobile Payment Usage:** Frequency of use, preferred apps (UPI, Paytm, PhonePe, Google Pay), reasons for using mobile payments (convenience, speed, offers, security).
        - **Consumer Buying Habits:** Frequency of sweet mart visits before and after mobile payment adoption, average spend per visit, likelihood of impulse purchases, product categories purchased using mobile payments.
        - **Perceived Benefits/Challenges:** Perceived ease of use, security concerns, network issues, trust.
- **For Sweet Mart Owners/Managers (Qualitative): Semi-structured Interview Guide**
    - The interview guide will facilitate open-ended discussions.
    - **Key themes to explore:**
        - Adoption journey of mobile payments (when, why, challenges during setup).
        - Operational impact: reduced cash handling, reconciliation, efficiency, transaction costs.
        - Perceived benefits for business: increased sales, customer retention, attracting new customers.
        - Challenges faced: network issues, technical glitches, customer digital literacy, staff training.
        - Observed changes in customer buying habits: increase in impulse purchases, overall sales volume, specific product sales.

- Future plans regarding digital payments.

3.4. Data Collection Procedure

- **Consumer Surveys:** Data will be collected through direct administration of questionnaires (paper-based or online via tablets/smartphones) at sweet mart premises, with permission from the owners. Researchers will explain the purpose of the study and ensure anonymity.
- **Sweet Mart Owner Interviews:** Appointments will be scheduled with owners/managers at their convenience. Interviews will be conducted face-to-face, ensuring a comfortable environment for discussion. With consent, interviews will be audio-recorded for accurate transcription and analysis.

3.5. Data Analysis

- **Quantitative Data Analysis (Consumer Surveys):**
  - **Descriptive Statistics:** Frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations will be used to summarize demographic data and mobile payment usage patterns.
  - **Inferential Statistics:**
    - **Chi-square tests** to examine associations between demographic variables and mobile payment adoption.
    - **Paired samples t-tests** or **Wilcoxon signed-rank tests** (depending on data normality) to compare perceived changes in buying habits (e.g., before vs. after mobile payment adoption).
    - **Correlation analysis** (Pearson or Spearman) to identify relationships between mobile payment usage factors and changes in buying habits.
    - **Regression analysis** (if appropriate) to model the influence of various factors on mobile payment adoption and its impact on buying habits.
- **Qualitative Data Analysis (Owner Interviews):**
  - **Thematic Analysis:** Audio recordings will be transcribed verbatim. The transcripts will then be systematically reviewed to identify recurring themes, patterns, and categories related to drivers, barriers, benefits, and challenges of mobile payment adoption and its impact on business operations and consumer behavior. Software like NVivo or ATLAs.ti may be used to assist in coding and theme identification.

3.6. Ethical Considerations

- **Informed Consent:** All participants (consumers and sweet mart owners) will be fully informed about the purpose of the study, their rights, and assurances of confidentiality and anonymity. Written consent will be obtained.
- **Confidentiality and Anonymity:** Participant identities will be protected. Data will be anonymized and aggregated to prevent individual identification.
- **Voluntary Participation:** Participation will be entirely voluntary, and participants will have the right to withdraw at any point without penalty.
- **Data Security:** Collected data will be stored securely and only accessible to the research team.

3.7. Limitations

- **Sampling Bias:** Convenience sampling for consumers may not fully represent the entire sweet mart consumer population in Pune.
- **Self-reported Data:** Reliance on self-reported data from surveys and interviews may be subject to recall bias or social desirability bias.

- **Causality:** While the study aims to identify impacts, establishing definitive causality between mobile payment adoption and specific buying habit changes can be complex.
- **Generalizability:** Findings primarily apply to sweet marts in Pune and may not be fully generalizable to other cities or different retail sectors.

---

4. Results and Analysis (Anticipated Structure)

This section would typically present the empirical findings of the research. As this is a research paper proposal or framework, the content below is illustrative, outlining the types of results and analysis that would be conducted once data is collected.

4.1. Respondent Demographics

- Demographic profiles of surveyed consumers (age, gender, occupation, income).
- Profile of interviewed sweet mart owners (years in business, size of establishment).

4.2. Mobile Payment Adoption Landscape

- **Consumer Adoption Rates:** Percentage of consumers regularly using mobile payments at sweet marts. Popular mobile payment apps (UPI, PhonePe, Google Pay, Paytm) used.
- **Sweet Mart Acceptance Rates:** Percentage of sweet marts accepting mobile payments. Types of mobile payment acceptance points (QR codes, POS machines).
- **Frequency of Use:** How often consumers choose mobile payments over cash for sweet mart purchases.

4.3. Drivers and Barriers to Mobile Payment Adoption

- **Key Drivers:**
  - *For Consumers:* Convenience, speed, availability of exact change, security perception, digital offers/cashbacks, peer influence.
  - *For Owners:* Reduced cash handling, ease of reconciliation, increased sales opportunity, customer demand, perceived modernity.
- **Key Barriers:**
  - *For Consumers:* Security concerns (fraud, data privacy), lack of digital literacy, poor network connectivity, preference for cash, no smartphone.
  - *For Owners:* Transaction fees, technical glitches, staff training issues, network instability, perceived complexity, lack of trust in digital systems.

4.4. Impact on Consumer Buying Habits

- **Purchase Frequency:** Analysis comparing consumer visits to sweet marts before and after widespread mobile payment adoption. Statistical tests to determine any significant change.
- **Average Transaction Value:** Changes in the average amount spent per visit when using mobile payments versus cash.
- **Impulse Buying Behavior:** Consumer perceptions and observed instances (from owner interviews) of increased impulse purchases attributed to the ease of mobile payments.
- **Product Selection:** Any observed shifts in the types of sweets or savories purchased due to mobile payment availability.

4.5. Perceived Benefits and Challenges for Sweet Marts

- **Benefits:**
  - Improved customer satisfaction and convenience.
  - Reduced risk and cost associated with cash management.
  - Potential for increased sales volume.
  - Attraction of a younger, tech-savvy customer base.
  - Better sales record keeping.
- **Challenges:**
  - Dependency on stable internet and electricity.
  - Occasional technical failures or app glitches.
  - Managing multiple payment apps and reconciliation.
  - Training staff on digital payment acceptance.
  - Transaction charges (if any, though UPI is generally free for consumers).

4.6. Demographic and Psychographic Influences

- Analysis of how factors like age group (e.g., younger vs. older consumers), income levels, and self-reported tech-savviness correlate with mobile payment usage patterns and changes in buying habits. For instance, do younger people exhibit higher impulse buying with mobile payments at sweet marts?

---

5. Discussion

This section would interpret the results presented in Section 4, relating them back to the literature review and research questions. It would also identify patterns, offer explanations for observations, and discuss the implications of the findings.

5.1. Mobile Payment Penetration in Pune's Sweet Marts

- The findings are expected to show a high penetration of mobile payment acceptance among sweet marts and active usage among consumers, reflecting Pune's general tech-forward nature and India's UPI success story.
- Discussion on any observed disparities in adoption based on the size or locality of the sweet mart (e.g., larger, more modern sweet marts vs. smaller, traditional ones).

5.2. Understanding Adoption Drivers and Barriers

- Relate the identified drivers (convenience, speed, lack of change, offers) and barriers (security concerns, network issues, digital literacy) back to TAM, UTAUT, and DOI theories. For instance, "perceived ease of use" (TAM) would likely be a significant driver for both consumers and merchants.
- Explore the nuances of trust and security perception, especially for traditional businesses and older demographics.

5.3. Mobile Payments and Evolving Consumer Habits

- **Impulse Buying:** A key discussion point would be the empirical evidence (or lack thereof) of increased impulse purchases in sweet marts. Compare this with existing literature on the "pain of

paying" and the tangibility of cash versus digital transactions. This is particularly relevant given the nature of sweet marts catering to immediate cravings.

- **Transaction Value and Frequency:** Discuss whether mobile payments lead to more frequent, potentially smaller transactions, and if there's an increase in average basket size due to reduced friction. This could indicate a significant shift in business revenue models for sweet marts.
- **Shifting Payment Preferences:** Analyze the extent to which consumers are moving away from cash even for small-value sweet purchases, and the implications for sweet mart operations (less cash handling, more digital trails).

5.4. Operational Repercussions for Sweet Mart Owners

- Discuss the balance between the perceived benefits (efficiency, new customers) and the challenges (technical issues, training) for owners.
- Are sweet mart owners seeing a return on investment for their digital payment infrastructure?
- Explore how owners are adapting their business strategies in response to these changes (e.g., promoting digital payments, offering digital-only deals).

5.5. Demographic Insights and Digital Inclusion

- Analyze how age, income, and tech-savviness influence payment choices and subsequent buying habits. This discussion would highlight potential digital divides and areas where greater support or education might be needed to ensure inclusive adoption. For example, older customers might still prefer cash, influencing a sweet mart's decision to maintain multiple payment options.

---

6. Conclusion, Implications, and Future Research

6.1. Conclusion

This study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of mobile payment adoption and its impact on consumer buying habits within sweet marts in Pune City. The anticipated findings suggest a robust and increasing adoption of mobile payments by both consumers and sweet mart owners, primarily driven by convenience, speed, and reduced friction in transactions. This widespread adoption is likely leading to demonstrable shifts in consumer buying habits, including an increase in purchase frequency, potentially higher average transaction values, and a greater tendency for impulse purchases owing to the 'frictionless' nature of digital payments. While owners largely perceive benefits like reduced cash handling and expanded customer reach, challenges such as network stability and digital literacy for some segments persist. The study would underscore the transformative potential of digital payments in even the most traditional retail segments, highlighting their role in modernizing local economies.

6.2. Implications

- **For Sweet Mart Owners:** Embrace mobile payments wholeheartedly. Invest in reliable internet infrastructure. Provide basic training to staff. Actively promote digital options. Consider using payment data to understand customer preferences and manage inventory better.
- **For Mobile Payment Service Providers:** Focus on robust network connectivity, even in congested areas. Simplify user interfaces for both consumers and merchants. Offer effective customer support. Develop tailored promotional schemes and value-added services (e.g., loyalty programs) specifically for traditional retail sectors like sweet marts.
- **For Consumers:** Leverage the convenience and potential rewards of mobile payments while remaining mindful of budgeting and security.

- **For Policymakers:** Continue to support digital infrastructure development and financial literacy programs. Potentially offer incentives for SMEs to adopt and integrate digital payment systems fully. Address regulatory concerns around data privacy and security.

6.3. Limitations of the Study

As noted in the methodology section, the reliance on convenience sampling and self-reported data could introduce biases. The study's focus on Pune City also limits the direct generalizability of findings to other regions or different types of traditional businesses. Establishing clear causality for all observed behavioral changes can also be challenging.

6.4. Future Research

- **Longitudinal Studies:** Conduct follow-up studies to observe the long-term impact of mobile payments on sweet mart revenue and operational efficiency.
- **Comparative Studies:** Compare adoption rates and impacts across different cities in India or between sweet marts and other traditional retail segments (e.g., local grocery stores, vegetable vendors).
- **Qualitative Deep Dive:** Conduct more in-depth ethnographic studies to observe actual consumer behavior at the point of sale, rather than relying solely on self-reported data.
- **Technology-specific Analysis:** Focus on the impact of specific mobile payment features (e.g., linking loyalty programs, recurring payments) on consumer behavior.
- **Merchant Perspectives on Data Analytics:** Explore how sweet mart owners can leverage payment transaction data for business intelligence and growth.
- **Impact on Supply Chain:** Investigate how digital payments influence sweet marts' relationships with their suppliers.

References

This section would list all academic sources cited in the paper, formatted according to a chosen citation style (e.g., APA, MLA, Chicago). Below are illustrative examples of types of references that would be included.

- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319-340.
- Gomber, P., Kauffman, R. J., Parker, C., & Weber, B. W. (2017). A future research agenda for digital transformation. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 34(3), 735-746.
- Gupta, S., Kumar, A., & Goyal, B. (2020). Digital transformation in Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises (MSMEs): Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Business and Management*, 22(3), 1-13.
- Kumar, A., & Dhingra, N. (2017). Determinants of mobile banking adoption: An empirical study. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, 22(1), 1-14.
- Morgan, L., & Insch, A. (2009). Digital transformation of SMEs: A case study of challenges and solutions. *Electronic Journal of Information Systems Evaluation*, 12(3), 209-220.
- Raman, A., Singh, V., & Singh, R. (2019). Barriers to adoption of mobile banking in India. *International Journal of Management, Technology and Engineering*, 9(1), 208-216.
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- Shin, D. H. (2009). Towards an understanding of the consumer acceptance of mobile wallet. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 25(6), 1343-1354.

- Singh, N., & Sinha, N. (2020). Determinants of mobile payment adoption in India: An empirical study. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences*, 23(1), 1-15.
- Soman, D., & Cheema, A. (2017). The effect of payment mechanism on impulse buying. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 43(6), 940-955.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425-478.
- Zellermayer, E., Aharon, I., Meiri, S., & Gayer, A. (2020). The pain of paying: The effect of payment method on consumer spending. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 57(5), 922-936.