Breaking the Sacred Silence: Draupadi and Sita as Agents of Redefinition in *The Palace of Illusion*s and *The Forest of Enchantments*

Dr. Jyati Dixit

Assistant Professor

Department of English

S. S. K. D. Government Degree College, Betalghat, Nainital (Uttarakhand) India

jyatidixit@gmail.com

Abstract

Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's *The Palace of Illusions* (2008) and *The Forest of Enchantments* (2019) return to two of the most enduring narratives of Indian mythology—the *Mahabharata* and the *Ramayana*—and retell them through the lived experiences of women who have traditionally existed at the margins of these epics. By allowing Draupadi and Sita to speak for themselves, Divakaruni moves beyond inherited ideals of feminine virtue and silence, presenting them instead as reflective individuals capable of questioning authority, expressing desire, and negotiating their own sense of self. These retellings do not reject the epics; rather, they open them up to reinterpretation by restoring emotional depth and narrative agency to their female protagonists.

The primary focus of this research paper is *The Forest of Enchantments*, a novel that recounts the *Ramayana* from Sita's point of view. Through a first-person narrative, Sita emerges not merely as a symbol of endurance or moral purity but as a woman who reflects deeply on love, loss, responsibility, and choice. The thematic analysis engages with issues such as women's empowerment, devotion and emotional commitment, the formation of identity, the symbolic presence of nature, sacrifice and duty, socially constructed gender roles, justice and moral judgment, illusion, and the resolution of conflict. Sita's years in exile and her life in the forest become moments of inward growth, allowing her to develop strength, clarity, and independence beyond the roles imposed upon her.

The paper also considers *The Palace of Illusions*, which reimagines the *Mahabharata* through the voice of Draupadi. Draupadi's story continues to resonate with contemporary readers because of its powerful engagement with themes of resistance, identity, and female autonomy. Divakaruni presents her as a woman acutely aware of her circumstances, one who questions injustice and refuses to remain silent in the face of patriarchal authority. Through themes of gender, defiance, and self-assertion, the narrative reveals the complexity of Draupadi's character. The first-person perspective grants readers direct access to her inner conflicts, ambitions, and emotional vulnerabilities, offering a richer understanding of how she negotiates power, desire, and destiny within a rigid social framework.

Keywords: Empowerment, patriarchal, individuality, self-assertion, emotional vulnerabilities.

Introduction

It is uncommon to find a writer who persistently encourages readers to interpret the world through a woman's consciousness, and Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni stands out precisely for this commitment. Born in India and later based in the United States, Divakaruni's literary career spans an impressive range of genres, including magical realism, historical fiction, mythological retellings, fantasy, and social realism. An internationally acclaimed author, she has produced more than twenty-one works for both adult and young readers. Her writings have crossed cultural and linguistic boundaries, being adapted into plays, operas, and films, and translated into over thirty languages.

In addition to her creative work, Divakaruni is a respected academic who teaches creative writing at the University of Houston. Her contribution to literature has been recognized through numerous prestigious honours, including the American Book Award and the PEN Josephine Miles Award. Beyond the literary sphere, she is deeply engaged in social activism, collaborating with organizations such as Pratham, Daya, and Maitri to advocate for education and to address issues of domestic violence. This blend of artistic vision and social responsibility lends her work a moral urgency that resonates across cultures.

Indian epics is informed by her close reading of multiple versions of the Ramayana, including Valmiki's Ramayana, Adbhuta Ramayana, Kamba Ramayana, and especially the fifteenth-century Krittibasi Ramayana, which significantly influences her feminist reinterpretations. Her most celebrated works, *The Palace of Illusions* and *The Forest of Enchantments*, revisit the Mahabharata and the Ramayana through the eyes of their central female figures. The former narrates the life of Panchaali (Draupadi) from a distinctly feminine perspective, while the latter—described by the author as *Sitayan*—presents Sita's own version of the epic. As Divakaruni herself states, "It is crucial for me to allow a woman to tell her own story" (*The Forest of Enchantment* ii). By reclaiming narrative authority for these women, she has secured a significant place in contemporary literature and reshaped mythological storytelling through a feminist lens.

The Mahabharata and the Ramayana function not only as literary epics but also as cultural and ethical frameworks that have shaped the Indian imagination for centuries. However, their monumental stature has often depended on the marginalization of women's voices. Draupadi and Sita—both figures of divine origin—have traditionally been revered as ideals of virtue, endurance, and sacrifice, yet their inner lives and perspectives remain largely unexplored in classical telling. Divakaruni, an Indian American writer writing from both within and beyond this tradition, undertakes the radical task of narrating these epics from the inside out. By employing first-person narration in *The Palace of Illusions* and *The Forest of Enchantments*, she restores to these mythic women a voice long denied by patriarchal storytelling.

Divakaruni's retellings are not acts of defiance against tradition but rather sustained conversations with it. In *The Forest of Enchantments*, she writes, "Every woman's story deserves to be told. Even if no one is willing to listen" (*TFOE* 6). Such moments foreground the historical silencing of women while simultaneously asserting their right to narrative presence. Her portrayals of Draupadi and Sita move beyond passive archetypes; they emerge as reflective, emotionally complex individuals capable of desire, doubt, resistance, and moral choice. This paper argues that through these reimaginings, Divakaruni transforms Draupadi and Sita into agents of redefinition, participating in a broader literary project that challenges entrenched patriarchal structures and reclaims epic narratives for women across cultures and generations.

Literature Review

Rituparna Roy (2014) examines Divakaruni's reinterpretation of Sita in *The Forest of Enchantments*, suggesting that the novel challenges conventional readings of obedience and submission. Roy argues that Divakaruni reframes Sita's choices as expressions of ethical autonomy rather than compliance, thereby destabilizing patriarchal assumptions that equate virtue with silence and endurance. Through this lens, Sita emerges as a reflective moral agent who defines righteousness independently of male authority.

Extending this feminist inquiry into postcolonial discourse, Lata Mishra (2020) situates Divakaruni's epic retellings within a politics of narrative reclamation. Mishra observes that Divakaruni's use of English to reinterpret Sanskrit epics is not merely a linguistic shift but a strategic intervention that disrupts both colonial and patriarchal monopolies over meaning. By appropriating a global language to narrate marginalized voices, Divakaruni reclaims interpretive power and repositions epic women within contemporary cultural and ideological debates.

Scholars have also explored Divakaruni's engagement with cultural feminism, particularly in her attention to women who exist at the periphery of epic narratives. In their study *"Cultural Feminism in The Forest of Enchantments,"* Anjali P. and Soniya Chellirian analyse how Divakaruni foregrounds the experiences of lesser-known female characters, thereby expanding feminist discourse beyond the singular heroine. Their analysis highlights the collective dimension of women's suffering and resilience within mythological frameworks. Likewise, Hema S., in *"Feminism in Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's The Palace of Illusions: A Critical Study,"* examines Divakaruni's narrative strategy as a form of theatrical and psychological reconstruction that foregrounds female consciousness and emotional depth within an epic traditionally dominated by male heroism.

Collectively, these critical engagements affirm that Divakaruni's work occupies a crucial position at the intersection of feminist revisionism and postcolonial reinterpretation. Existing scholarship recognizes her novels as sustained efforts to restore voice, agency, and interiority to women who have long functioned as symbolic ideals rather than speaking subjects. However, there remains scope for further analysis of how Draupadi and Sita, through first-person narration, actively redefine epic authority itself—a gap this study seeks to address.

Discussion

The Palace of Illusion

Indian epics such as the *Mahabharata* and the *Ramayana* have traditionally functioned as moral, philosophical, and cultural touchstones within the Indian imagination. While these texts engage deeply with questions of duty, justice, and human conflict, their narrative authority has historically remained concentrated in male figures. Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's *The Palace of Illusions* intervenes in this tradition by shifting the epic's narrative centre from masculine heroism to female consciousness. By retelling the *Mahabharata* through Draupadi's first-person perspective, Divakaruni challenges the gendered silences embedded in canonical storytelling and foregrounds women's lived experiences within a predominantly patriarchal framework.

Divakaruni's Draupadi—referred to by her chosen name, Panchaali—is not merely a legendary figure revisited but a complex subject negotiating identity, desire, power, and autonomy. Through her, the novel undertakes a sustained exploration of womanhood that resists essentialist notions of femininity. Panchaali's evolving selfhood is shaped by her continuous engagement with structures of authority—familial, political, and cultural—that seek to define and confine her. Yet, rather than internalizing these limitations, she emerges as a questioning and self-aware individual who persistently interrogates the norms governing her existence. Divakaruni's empathetic rendering of Panchaali's emotional and psychological struggles enables readers to perceive the epic world not as a heroic abstraction but as a lived social reality deeply marked by gendered inequality.

One of the novel's most significant interventions lies in its exposure of the emotional and psychological costs borne by women within epic narratives. Divakaruni reclaims spaces of interiority that the classical *Mahabharata* largely denies its female characters. By narrating the epic through Panchaali's voice, the author offers a critique of patriarchal power structures that normalize women's suffering while glorifying male ambition and conquest. As Divakaruni notes in the author's note, the

narrative invites readers into "her life, her voice, her questions, and her vision" (*The Palace of Illusions*, xv), thereby asserting the legitimacy of female perspective as a source of epic authority.

Panchaali's struggle for identity begins at birth, when her emergence from the sacrificial fire is met with indifference rather than celebration. Her father's reaction underscores the deep-rooted gender bias that privileges male lineage and heroic destiny. This imbalance is symbolically reinforced through the act of naming: while her brother receives a name that proclaims martial power, Panchaali is initially defined only in relation to her father. Her rejection of this imposed identity and her conscious adoption of the name "Panchaali" signal an early assertion of selfhood. Naming, in this context, becomes a political act—a refusal to remain tethered to patriarchal definitions of female identity.

Panchaali's childhood further reveals the emotional alienation imposed by patriarchal authority. Her recollection of Drupad's palace as a "gold-barred cage" transforms the architectural grandeur of royal power into a metaphor for systemic confinement. Although materially privileged, she remains emotionally constrained, denied affection, agency, and recognition. The palace thus symbolizes the larger social order that restricts women's freedom under the guise of protection and tradition. In response to these constraints, Panchaali retreats into imagination, envisioning a palace of her own—an aspirational space that represents autonomy, self-expression, and control over her destiny.

Divakaruni uses Panchaali's desire for education and martial training to further expose the gendered limitations imposed upon women. When Panchaali expresses her wish to learn warfare, she is firmly denied, with her father asserting that a Kshatriya woman's purpose lies solely in supporting male warriors. This moment encapsulates the epic's broader ideological framework, wherein women are positioned as facilitators of male heroism rather than agents in their own right. Panchaali's refusal to accept this narrative marks her as a figure of resistance who seeks to redefine women's roles beyond sacrificial support and silent endurance.

The politics of marriage in *The Palace of Illusions* further reveal the commodification of women within patriarchal society. Panchaali's swayamvar, ostensibly a ceremony of choice, is exposed as a performative spectacle orchestrated to serve political alliances. Her protest— "Why even call it a swayamvar, then?" (*The Palace of Illusions* 98) articulates a sharp critique of the illusion of female agency within institutionalized traditions. Marriage, for Panchaali, becomes another site where her body and future are negotiated without her consent, reinforcing her status as property rather than person.

The most radical assertion of Panchaali's agency occurs in the aftermath of the dice game, where she is publicly humiliated and treated as a stake in male rivalry. Rather than submitting to silence, Panchaali responds with righteous anger and moral clarity. Her vow not to tie her hair until justice is served transforms personal violation into political resistance. This act disrupts the conventional association of femininity with passivity and forgiveness, positioning anger as a legitimate and transformative response to injustice. Divakaruni thus reclaims female rage as a source of ethical power rather than moral failure.

Panchaali's resolve becomes a catalytic force within the epic, motivating the Pandavas toward confrontation and ultimately war. While traditional narratives often marginalize women's influence on historical events, Divakaruni foregrounds Panchaali as a central agent whose suffering and resistance shape the epic's trajectory. Her "special vision," which allows her to witness key moments of the war, symbolically affirms her narrative authority and moral insight, placing her at the heart of epic action rather than its margins.

In the novel's concluding sections, Panchaali reflects critically on her own desires, ambitions, and misjudgements. This self-reflexivity prevents her from being idealized into a flawless icon. Instead, Divakaruni presents her as profoundly human—capable of both strength and error. Through this nuanced portrayal, *The Palace of Illusions* reclaims Draupadi not merely as a symbol of suffering but as a conscious agent who resists erasure and asserts her presence within a male-dominated epic tradition. Divakaruni's retelling thus transforms the *Mahabharata* into a site of feminist re-vision, where women are no longer silent bearers of destiny but active participants in shaping history itself.

The Forest of Enchantment

The *Ramayana* endures as a global epic precisely because of its capacity to transcend geographical, linguistic, and cultural boundaries, inspiring retellings in more than three hundred languages. Traditionally narrated from Rama's perspective, the epic has long foregrounded masculine ideals of kingship, duty, and dharma, often relegating women's experiences to the margins. Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's *The Forest of Enchantments* intervenes in this narrative legacy by retelling the epic through Sita's voice, transforming the *Ramayana* into a *Sitayan*—a text that centres female subjectivity, emotional depth, and moral autonomy.

Sita's identity in Divakaruni's retelling is deeply symbolic and profoundly human. Her name, meaning "furrow," signifies her emergence from the Earth and establishes an intrinsic connection between her being and the natural world. This elemental bond is not merely mythological but ideological: Sita's values—resilience, compassion, balance, and endurance—mirror the sustaining qualities of nature itself. Though revered as the incarnation of Goddess Lakshmi, Divakaruni presents Sita as fully human, capable of doubt, pain, longing, and quiet defiance. Her humanity allows contemporary readers to engage with her not as an unreachable ideal but as a woman navigating injustice with dignity.

Throughout the novel, Sita is portrayed as a figure whose strength lies not in physical dominance but in moral clarity and emotional resilience. Divakaruni consciously reframes courage as endurance rather than aggression—a form of bravery often mistaken for passivity. Sita's capacity to forgive, to persist despite suffering, and to act with ethical conviction positions

her within a feminist framework that values inner strength over performative heroism. This representation resonates strongly with lived female experiences across historical periods, where survival itself becomes an act of resistance.

One of the novel's earliest assertions of Sita's agency appears in her decision to accompany Rama into exile. When Rama attempts to forbid her, citing her gender as a limitation, Sita internally challenges the assumption that women are inherently weak or helpless. Although she moderates her speech in accordance with the social conditioning imparted by her mother, her inward resistance exposes the gap between patriarchal perception and female capability. This moment underscores Divakaruni's critique of gendered assumptions that silence women's competence while framing restraint as virtue.

Divakaruni further complicates Sita's character by situating her within a broader community of marginalized women. The narrative brings forward voices traditionally sidelined in classical tellings—Surpanakha, Kaikeyi, Urmila, Mandodari, and Ahalya—revealing how women across social positions are bound by similar structures of suspicion, sacrifice, and silencing. Through Sita's encounters with these women, the novel foregrounds a form of female solidarity grounded in shared suffering and experiential wisdom. Ahalya's reflection that love, once wounded by mistrust, cannot fully heal, serves as a crucial lesson that shapes Sita's evolving understanding of relationships and justice.

A central feminist intervention in *The Forest of Enchantments* lies in its re-evaluation of the fire ordeal. While traditional readings often portray Sita's trial as an emblem of purity and devotion, Divakaruni reclaims it as a conscious moral decision. Sita undergoes the ordeal not to validate herself, but to protect Rama's honour and uphold social order. However, when asked to repeat this act later, she refuses. This refusal marks the apex of her autonomy. Her rejection of a second trial is not born of bitterness but of ethical responsibility: she recognizes that her compliance would normalize the expectation that women must continually prove their innocence. In choosing dignity over reconciliation, Sita asserts a liberation that challenges patriarchal moral logic.

Her final declaration—refusing to submit to societal scrutiny again—repositions her departure into the Earth as an act of agency rather than defeat. This conclusion, though tragic, is imbued with emotional strength and moral finality. Divakaruni's Sita does not disappear into silence; she exits on her own terms, transforming erasure into self-authored closure.

The novel also invites a critical reading of Rama's actions through the lens of gender theory. Judith Butler's concept of gender performativity is particularly relevant here: Rama performs the role of the ideal king in accordance with societal expectations, prioritizing public perception over private justice. His adherence to masculine duty ultimately overrides his ethical responsibility toward Sita, revealing how patriarchal structures harm not only women but also the emotional integrity of relationships. Divakaruni does not vilify Rama outright; instead, she exposes the systemic pressures that shape his choices.

By reframing Sita's story through a feminist and cultural lens, *The Forest of Enchantments* challenges centuries-old assumptions about womanhood, virtue, and sacrifice. Divakaruni does not seek to dismantle tradition but to converse with it, illuminating the emotional costs borne by women whose voices were historically muted. Through Sita's narrative, the novel affirms that silence is not synonymous with virtue and that endurance, when chosen consciously, becomes a form of power.

Ultimately, Divakaruni's *Sitayan* ensures that Sita's voice—long overshadowed by epic ideals of masculine heroism—endures as a testament to female resilience, moral clarity, and self-respect. In reclaiming narrative authority, Sita becomes a transhistorical symbol for women across generations, demonstrating that resistance need not always be loud to be transformative. Her story, as reimagined by Divakaruni, stands as a powerful feminist re-vision of the *Ramayana*, where women are no longer passive bearers of suffering but conscious agents of meaning and dignity.

Conclusion

The most radical intervention in Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's mythological retellings lies in her decision to allow Draupadi and Sita to narrate their own lives. In *The Palace of Illusions*, Draupadi introduces herself with the declaration, "I was born of fire, and I have never forgotten that" (3). This opening is at once assertive and prophetic, signalling a consciousness shaped by divine origin yet constrained by human institutions. By employing first-person narration, Divakaruni restores to Draupadi a narrative authority long mediated by sages, poets, and patriarchal traditions, enabling her to speak not as a symbol but as a thinking subject.

A similar reclaiming of voice structures *The Forest of Enchantments*, where Sita begins her story by asserting, "This is my story. Not his. Not Rama's. Mine" (7). This direct refusal of narrative displacement challenges centuries of epic convention and resonates with Hélène Cixous's call for women to inscribe their lived experiences in their own language. In both novels, the act of speaking becomes a form of resistance, dismantling a mythic tradition in which women are revered as ideals yet denied the right to self-expression.

Central to Divakaruni's feminist re-vision is her reworking of *dharma*, a concept that has traditionally justified women's endurance in the name of duty and sacrifice. Draupadi and Sita are often upheld as contrasting ideals—the outspoken queen and the obedient wife—but Divakaruni complicates these binaries by allowing both women to question the moral frameworks imposed upon them. When Draupadi confronts her public humiliation in the royal court, asking whether her husbands failed to recognize that their honour depended upon her safety (184), she exposes the moral contradictions embedded in patriarchal codes of honour. Her challenge reveals how *dharma*, when defined solely by male authority, becomes a tool of injustice.

Sita's interrogation of *dharma* is quieter yet equally destabilizing. When Rama abandons her in order to preserve his image as an ideal king, she responds with moral clarity: "If a king must be just, let him start with his wife" (214). In this moment, Divakaruni draws attention to the ethical divide between public duty and private responsibility. By choosing exile over submission, Sita redefines purity not as bodily chastity but as fidelity to one's conscience. Through both women, Divakaruni relocates moral authority from external systems of power to individual ethical awareness—a move that aligns with postcolonial efforts to reclaim agency from inherited hierarchies.

In *The Palace of Illusions*, Draupadi's reflections frequently echo the tension experienced by women negotiating tradition and autonomy. Her observation that women are "always the sacrifices, never the priests" (206) critiques not only ancient epic structures but also their persistence in contemporary society. Divakaruni thus bridges myth and modernity, revealing how the silencing of women continues across historical contexts.

Through *The Palace of Illusions* and *The Forest of Enchantments*, Divakaruni performs a profound act of narrative justice. Draupadi and Sita emerge not as relics of unquestioned obedience but as women of intellect, emotional depth, and moral strength. By granting them first-person voices, Divakaruni breaks the "sacred silence" that has long governed women's roles within mythological discourse.

Draupadi's fiery resistance and Sita's steadfast resolve represent distinct yet complementary modes of feminist expression—anger and endurance, confrontation and quiet refusal. Together, they affirm a shared truth: once a woman's voice is restored, even the most sacred narratives can be reimagined. Divakaruni's retellings remind us that mythology is not static; it is a living tradition, continually reshaped by those who dare to speak from its margins and, in doing so, transform its centre.

References

1. Anu. "A Psychoanalytical Study of Female Characters in Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's The Forest of Enchantments." *The Criterion: An International Journal in English,* Vol. 13, no. 1, February 2022, 157-166.
2. Cixous, Hélène. "The Laugh of the Medusa." *Signs*, vol. 1, no. 4, 1976, pp. 875–893.
3. Divakaruni, Chitra Banerjee. *The Palace of Illusions*. Anuradha, 2008.
4. Divakaruni, Chitra Banerjee. *The Forest of Enchantments*. HarperCollins India, 2020.
5. Dhar, Leema. "Divinity And The Feminist Indian Woman In Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's Novel The Forest of Enchantments". *JETIR* September 2021, Vol. 8, no. 9, 2021, 102-105.
6. Gaur, Harshita and Tanu Kashyap. "The Legacy of Draupadi: Exploring the Intersection of Femininity, Resistance and Self-Identity as Depicted in the Palace of Illusions by Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni and Draupadi by Koral Dasgupta." *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)*, Vol 6, no. 3, May-June 2024, 1-7.
7. Gilbert, Sandra M., and Susan Gubar. *The Madwoman in the Attic: The Woman Writer and the Nineteenth-Century Literary Imagination.* Yale, 1979.
8. Hema, S. "Feminism in Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's The Palace of Illusions: A Critical Study." *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, vol. 17, no. 4, 3128-3132, 2021.
9. Kumari, Medha. "Treatment Of Myths in Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni's The Palace Of Illusion And The Forest of Enchantments." *IJCRT*, Vol 11, no. 4 April 2023, 726-733.
10. Mishra, Lata. "Revisiting Indian Epics: A Feminist Reading of Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni." *Journal of South Asian Literature*, vol. 45, no. 2, 2020, pp. 67–80.
11. P, Anjali and Sonia Chellirian. "Cultural Feminism in The Forest of Enchantments by Chitra Banerjee Divakaruni." *IJCRT*, Vol 11, no. 5, May 2023, 623-626.
12. Roy, Rituparna. "Sita Speaks: Feminist Reinterpretation in *The Forest of Enchantments*." *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, vol. 21, no. 3, 2014, pp. 345–360.
13. Showalter, Elaine. *A Literature of Their Own: British Women Novelists from Brontë to Lessing.* Princeton, 1977.
14. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. *Can the Subaltern Speak?* Columbia, 1988.