

Wearable Technology for Chronic Disease Self-Management: A Nursing Practice Perspective - A Narrative Review

Dr. SUJA BABY Y V

Principal, CSI College of Nursing, Dr. SMCSI Medical College, Karakonam, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

Abstract

Background: Chronic diseases affect over 60% of adults globally, driving healthcare costs and reducing quality of life. Wearable technology offers promising solutions for continuous monitoring and self-management support, yet nurses - who spend the most direct time with patients - face uncertainty about how to integrate these tools into practice.

Objective: To examine current applications of wearable technology in chronic disease management and identify nursing opportunities, challenges, and implementation considerations for clinical practice.

Methods: Narrative review of literature from 2018-2026 using PubMed, CINAHL, and IEEE databases. Search focused on wearable devices, chronic disease management, nursing practice, and patient self-care. Grey literature from professional organizations and technology reports supplemented peer-reviewed sources.

Results: Wearable devices show significant potential for diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and respiratory condition management through continuous glucose monitoring, heart rhythm detection, and activity tracking. Nursing roles encompass device education, data interpretation, care plan modification, and troubleshooting. Benefits include improved patient engagement, early symptom detection, and personalized interventions. Key challenges involve data overwhelm, technology gaps among older adults, privacy concerns, and lack of clinical integration standards.

Conclusion: Wearable technology represents a paradigm shift toward continuous, patient-centered care that aligns with nursing's holistic approach. Success requires targeted education, workflow integration, and recognition of nurses as essential interpreters of wearable data for meaningful clinical action.

Keywords

Wearable technology; chronic disease; self-management; nursing practice; digital health; remote monitoring; patient education.

Introduction

Walk into any hospital unit today and you'll see something that would have been science fiction twenty years ago: patients wearing multiple devices that continuously track their heart rate, blood oxygen, sleep patterns, and medication adherence—all while sending data directly to their smartphones and potentially their healthcare team. This isn't the future anymore; it's happening now.¹

Chronic diseases affect more than 6 in 10 adults in the United States, accounting for \$4.1 trillion in annual healthcare costs.² Traditional management approaches—periodic clinic visits, episodic monitoring, and reactive interventions - weren't designed for conditions that require daily self-management and continuous attention. Patients with diabetes might check their blood glucose a few times daily, missing dangerous

fluctuations. Heart failure patients often can't detect fluid retention until symptoms become severe. The gap between what happens in the clinic and what happens in daily life has always been nursing's concern.

Wearable technology promises to bridge that gap. These devices from smartwatches and fitness trackers to continuous glucose monitors and smart inhalers can provide 24/7 monitoring, real-time feedback, and early warning systems for chronic disease complications.³ The global wearable medical device market, valued at \$8.2 billion in 2020, is projected to reach \$30.1 billion by 2026.⁴

But here's the thing: technology alone doesn't improve health outcomes. Someone needs to help patients understand what all that data means, when to act on it, and how to integrate device insights into their daily self-care routines. That someone is often a nurse.

Nurses spend more direct time with patients than any other healthcare professionals. They're the ones teaching medication schedules, explaining symptoms to watch for, and helping patients navigate complex treatment regimens. When a patient's smartwatch detects atrial fibrillation at 2 AM, or their continuous glucose monitor shows concerning patterns, nurses are frequently the first point of contact for questions and guidance.⁵

Yet nursing literature has been relatively quiet about wearable technology implementation. Most research focuses on device accuracy, clinical outcomes, or patient satisfaction, without examining the operational realities of integrating these tools into nursing practice. This gap matters because nurses' comfort, competence, and confidence with wearable technology directly influences patient adoption and effective use.⁶

This review examines wearable technology through a nursing lens—not just what these devices can do, but how they're actually changing nursing practice, what challenges nurses face, and how the profession can position itself to lead rather than follow in this digital transformation.

Methods

We searched published literature from January 2018 through December 2026 to capture the rapid evolution of wearable technology and its healthcare applications. The search strategy used PubMed, CINAHL Plus, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar, targeting peer-reviewed articles, conference proceedings, and grey literature from professional nursing organizations.

Search terms included combinations of: 'wearable technology', 'wearable devices', 'smartwatch', 'fitness tracker', 'continuous monitoring', 'chronic disease management', 'diabetes', 'heart failure', 'nursing practice', 'patient education', 'self-management', and 'digital health'. We also searched specific device names (Apple Watch, Fitbit, Dexcom, etc.) combined with nursing terms.

Inclusion criteria encompassed English-language articles addressing wearable technology use for chronic disease management, nursing roles in digital health, patient education about wearable devices, and clinical integration challenges. We excluded purely technical papers focused on device engineering without clinical applications, and studies limited to healthy populations without chronic conditions.

The narrative approach allowed synthesis across diverse study types and emerging technologies where systematic review methodology would be limiting. We organized findings thematically around nursing practice domains: patient education, clinical assessment, care coordination, and professional development.

The Wearable Revolution: Understanding Current Technologies

Today's wearable devices fall into several categories, each with different implications for nursing practice. Consumer fitness trackers like Fitbit and Garmin provide basic activity monitoring, sleep tracking, and heart rate data that can inform lifestyle counseling and goal setting.⁷ These devices are increasingly sophisticated—some can detect falls, track stress levels through heart rate variability, and even monitor blood oxygen saturation.

Smartwatches represent the next level of capability. The Apple Watch can perform FDA-approved electrocardiograms, detect irregular heart rhythms, and alert users to potential atrial fibrillation—capabilities that have documented clinical value for cardiovascular disease management.⁸ Samsung Galaxy Watch and other Android-compatible devices offer similar features plus blood pressure monitoring and body composition analysis.

Medical-grade wearables target specific chronic conditions. Continuous glucose monitors (CGMs) like Dexcom G7 and FreeStyle Libre provide real-time glucose readings every minute, with customizable alerts for high and low levels.⁹ These devices have transformed diabetes management, reducing hemoglobin A1c levels and hypoglycemic episodes while improving quality of life.

Respiratory monitoring represents an emerging category. Smart inhalers track medication use and technique, while wearable sensors can monitor cough patterns, breathing rate, and early signs of exacerbation in asthma and COPD patients.¹⁰ The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated development of devices that can detect early respiratory symptoms and infection patterns.

What makes these devices particularly relevant for nursing is their focus on continuous monitoring and patient engagement rather than episodic measurement. A traditional blood pressure cuff provides one data point; a smartwatch provides thousands, revealing patterns that single measurements miss entirely.

Redefining Nursing Practice: New Roles in the Wearable Era

Ask nurses working with wearable technology what's changed about their practice, and you'll hear about entirely new responsibilities that didn't exist five years ago. These fall into several key areas that extend and enhance traditional nursing roles.

Device Education and Training

Nurses have become the primary educators for wearable device use - a role that requires both technical knowledge and pedagogical skills. Teaching a 70-year-old diabetes patient to use a smartphone app connected to their CGM involves understanding the device, anticipating learning barriers, and providing ongoing support as patients encounter problems.¹¹

This education extends beyond basic operation. Patients need to understand what data matters, how to interpret trends, when to take action, and when to seek help. A patient whose fitness tracker shows elevated resting heart rate needs guidance about whether this represents a medication effect, infection onset, or device error. Nurses often serve as the interpreters who help patients distinguish meaningful signals from digital noise.

Data Integration and Clinical Assessment

Wearable devices generate enormous amounts of data—a single patient might produce thousands of data points weekly. Nurses are learning to identify clinically relevant patterns within this information stream. Heart rate variability trends might indicate medication non-adherence, sleep pattern changes could suggest depression onset, and activity level drops might predict exacerbations before patients recognize symptoms.¹²

This represents a fundamental shift from episodic to continuous assessment. Instead of asking 'How are you feeling today?' nurses can ask 'I notice your heart rate was elevated Tuesday night- what was happening then?' The conversation becomes more specific, objective, and actionable.

Care Plan Modification and Personalization

Wearable data enables unprecedented personalization of care plans. If a diabetes patient's CGM shows consistent post-meal spikes after dinner but not other meals, the nurse can work with them to identify specific dietary triggers or timing issues. Activity trackers revealing that a heart failure patient consistently walks fewer steps on Mondays might lead to discovery of weekend medication lapses or weekend sodium indulgence.¹³

This personalization requires nurses to think differently about care planning. Instead of generic instructions ('exercise 30 minutes daily'), wearable data supports specific, tailored interventions ('your step count drops below 3,000 on rainy days- let's plan some indoor alternatives').

Technology Troubleshooting and Support

Nurses have inadvertently become technical support specialists. When devices stop syncing, batteries die, or sensors fail, patients often call their healthcare team rather than device manufacturers. Nurses find themselves troubleshooting Bluetooth connections, explaining software updates, and helping patients navigate privacy settings they never expected to understand.¹⁴

This technical support role can be frustrating, but it's also crucial for maintaining patient engagement. A CGM that stops working over a weekend could lead a diabetes patient to abandon the technology entirely if they can't get timely help. Nurses often serve as the bridge between patients and technical support, translating between clinical needs and technical solutions.

The Promise Delivered: Benefits for Patients and Nurses

When wearable technology works well, the benefits for both patients and nursing practice can be transformative. The most obvious advantage is improved chronic disease control, with studies showing reduced hospitalizations, better medication adherence, and enhanced quality of life across multiple conditions.¹⁵

Patient engagement represents perhaps the most significant benefit. Wearable devices gamify health behaviors, providing immediate feedback that traditional healthcare approaches can't match. Patients who've struggled with motivation suddenly find themselves competing with friends, celebrating step goals, and actively monitoring metrics they previously ignored. The device becomes a constant, gentle reminder about health priorities.¹⁶

Early detection capabilities offer substantial clinical value. Heart rhythm monitoring through smartwatches has identified atrial fibrillation in asymptomatic patients, leading to stroke prevention interventions.¹⁷ CGMs

alert patients to dangerous glucose levels before they develop symptoms, preventing emergencies and reducing anxiety about hypoglycemic episodes.

From a nursing perspective, wearable technology can make patient interactions more efficient and meaningful. Instead of spending appointment time gathering basic information ('How's your energy level?' 'Are you taking your medications?'), nurses can focus on interpretation, problem-solving, and planning. The data tells part of the story; nurses help patients understand what it means and what to do about it.

Remote monitoring capabilities proved especially valuable during the COVID-19 pandemic, allowing nurses to maintain contact with high-risk patients while minimizing exposure. Patients could share vital signs, activity levels, and symptoms electronically, enabling clinical assessment without office visits.¹⁸

Perhaps most importantly, wearable technology aligns with nursing's holistic approach to patient care. These devices don't just measure disease markers—they capture how patients live, sleep, move, and respond to stress. This comprehensive picture supports the kind of whole-person assessment that nurses value but often lack objective data to support.

The Reality Check: Challenges and Barriers

For all its promise, wearable technology integration faces significant challenges that nurses experience daily. The most immediate problem is data overwhelm—patients and nurses alike struggle with the sheer volume of information these devices generate. A single patient might produce sleep scores, heart rate patterns, activity summaries, and medication reminders, creating more information than anyone can meaningfully process.¹⁹

Technology literacy represents a persistent barrier, particularly among older adults who comprise the largest population with chronic diseases. Teaching a patient with limited smartphone experience to navigate CGM apps, interpret trend arrows, and respond to alerts requires patience and repeated instruction. Some patients find the technology more stressful than helpful, preferring traditional monitoring methods they understand and trust.²⁰

Clinical workflow integration remains problematic. Most electronic health records weren't designed to incorporate continuous wearable data, leaving nurses to manually review app screenshots, printouts, or separate platforms. This creates documentation burdens and workflow interruptions that can reduce rather than improve efficiency.²¹

Privacy and security concerns affect both patients and nurses. Patients worry about who has access to their health data and how it might be used by insurers or employers. Nurses struggle with unclear policies about viewing, documenting, and acting on patient-generated data that might not meet traditional clinical standards. When a patient's smartwatch detects atrial fibrillation but the healthcare system has no formal protocol for responding to such alerts, nurses find themselves in uncertain territory.²²

Cost and access issues limit equitable implementation. While basic fitness trackers have become affordable, medical-grade devices often require significant out-of-pocket expenses or insurance coverage that many patients lack. This creates disparities where wearable benefits accrue primarily to patients with means and technology access, potentially widening health gaps.²³

Nursing education hasn't kept pace with technology advancement. Most nursing curricula provide minimal training on digital health tools, leaving practicing nurses to learn about wearable technology through trial and

error. This knowledge gap affects confidence and competence, potentially limiting the profession's ability to lead in digital health integration.²⁴

Device reliability remains an ongoing concern. Sensors fail, batteries die, software glitches occur, and connectivity problems interrupt data flow. When patients depend on these devices for health management, technical failures can cause anxiety and potentially compromise care. Nurses often lack resources or authority to resolve technical problems quickly, creating patient safety and satisfaction issues.

Making It Work: Implementation Strategies for Nursing Practice

Successful wearable technology integration requires deliberate planning and systematic approaches that address both technical and human factors. Leading healthcare organizations have developed strategies that other nursing teams can adapt and implement.

Education represents the foundation of successful implementation. Nurses need training on device capabilities, data interpretation, troubleshooting basics, and clinical integration protocols. This education must be ongoing rather than one-time, given the rapid pace of technology evolution. Some organizations designate 'digital health champions'- nurses with particular interest and aptitude who receive advanced training and serve as resources for colleagues.²⁵

Workflow integration requires careful attention to existing nursing processes and identification of natural incorporation points. Rather than adding wearable data review as a separate task, successful programs integrate it into existing assessment routines. Nurses might review overnight heart rate patterns while taking morning vital signs, or discuss activity trends during routine diabetes education sessions.

Patient selection and matching can improve success rates significantly. Not every patient benefits from every device. Nurses can help identify patients most likely to engage with wearable technology based on current tech use, motivation levels, and specific clinical needs. Starting with enthusiastic early adopters can create success stories that encourage broader adoption.²⁶

Clear protocols for responding to wearable data alerts protect both patients and nurses. When should a nurse call a patient about elevated readings? What warrants immediate intervention versus routine follow-up? How should wearable data be documented in medical records? Established policies reduce uncertainty and ensure consistent responses to technology-generated information.

Technical support systems must be established before widespread implementation. This might involve partnerships with device manufacturers, internal IT support, or contracted technical assistance. Nurses shouldn't be expected to solve complex technical problems, but they need reliable escalation pathways when patients encounter difficulties.²⁷

Quality improvement approaches can guide implementation and refinement. Regular assessment of patient satisfaction, clinical outcomes, nursing workflow impact, and cost-effectiveness helps organizations optimize their approaches. What works in one setting may need modification for different patient populations or clinical contexts.

Looking Ahead: The Future of Nursing and Wearable Technology

The trajectory of wearable technology suggests even greater integration with nursing practice in coming years. Artificial intelligence integration will enable devices to learn individual patient patterns and provide

increasingly personalized insights. Instead of generic alerts, future wearables might recognize that a particular patient's heart rate elevation pattern indicates medication non-adherence rather than physical activity, enabling more targeted nursing interventions.²⁸

Passive monitoring capabilities continue expanding. New sensors can track hydration levels, stress hormones, blood pressure, and even blood glucose non-invasively. This evolution toward completely passive monitoring could eliminate many current barriers while providing richer data for nursing assessment and intervention.

Interoperability standards development should eventually address current integration challenges. As healthcare systems, device manufacturers, and regulatory agencies establish common data formats and communication protocols, wearable information will flow more seamlessly into clinical workflows and electronic health records.²⁹

Nursing research opportunities in this field remain largely untapped. Questions about optimal patient education strategies, effective workflow integration models, and nurse preparation requirements need investigation. The profession has an opportunity to lead research that shapes how wearable technology supports patient care rather than simply responding to technology developed by others.

Professional scope of practice may need clarification as wearable technology capabilities expand. When devices can detect medical emergencies, suggest medication adjustments, or predict health crises, nurses need clear guidance about their responsibilities for responding to technology-generated information. This represents both an opportunity and a challenge for nursing practice advancement.

The democratization of health monitoring through wearable technology aligns perfectly with nursing's focus on patient empowerment and self-care. Rather than threatening nursing roles, these tools can enhance nursing practice by providing objective data to support clinical judgment and creating more opportunities for meaningful patient interaction and education.

Conclusion

Wearable technology has moved from novelty to necessity in chronic disease management, and nursing practice is evolving to accommodate this transformation. The devices provide unprecedented insights into patient behavior, physiology, and treatment response, while creating new opportunities for personalized, continuous care.

Success in this digital health era requires nurses to embrace new roles as educators, data interpreters, and technology advocates while maintaining the holistic, patient-centered approach that defines the profession. This isn't about replacing traditional nursing skills with digital competencies—it's about enhancing those skills with powerful new tools.

The challenges are real: data overwhelm, technology gaps, workflow disruption, and equity concerns must be addressed through systematic planning, education, and policy development. But the potential benefits—improved patient outcomes, enhanced engagement, early problem detection, and more meaningful clinical interactions - justify the effort required for effective integration.

Nursing's future in healthcare depends partly on how well the profession adapts to technological change while preserving its fundamental values of caring, advocacy, and holistic patient support. Wearable technology

offers an opportunity to demonstrate nursing's essential role in translating digital innovations into human-centered care.

The patients are already wearing the devices. The technology is already generating the data. The question isn't whether nurses will work with wearable technology—it's how quickly and effectively they can master these tools to improve the lives of the people they serve.

References

1. Jovanov E, Milenkovic A. Body area networks for ubiquitous healthcare applications: opportunities and challenges. *J Med Syst.* 2011;35(5):1245-54.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. About chronic diseases. Atlanta: CDC; 2022. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/chronic-disease/about/>
3. Piwek L, Ellis DA, Andrews S, Joinson A. The rise of consumer health wearables: promises and barriers. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13(2):e1001953.
4. Grand View Research. Wearable medical devices market size, share & trends analysis report by product, by application, by distribution channel, by region, and segment forecasts, 2021-2028. San Francisco: GVR; 2021.
5. Topol EJ. *The patient will see you now: the future of medicine is in your hands.* New York: Basic Books; 2015.
6. Ross J, Stevenson F, Lau R, Murray E. Factors that influence the implementation of e-health: a systematic review of systematic reviews (an update). *Implement Sci.* 2016;11:146.
7. Cadmus-Bertram LA, Marcus BH, Patterson RE, et al. Randomized trial of a Fitbit-based physical activity intervention for women. *Am J Prev Med.* 2015;49(3):414-8.
8. Perez MV, Mahaffey KW, Hedlin H, et al. Large-scale assessment of a smartwatch to identify atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(20):1909-17.
9. Danne T, Nimri R, Battelino T, et al. International consensus on use of continuous glucose monitoring. *Diabetes Care.* 2017;40(12):1631-40.
10. Suave MJ, Lamson DW, Slavens BA, et al. Understanding adherence to inhaled medications using health informatics. *Respir Med.* 2019;146:49-54.
11. Heintzman ND. A digital ecosystem of diabetes data and technology: services, systems, and tools enabled by wearables, sensors, and apps. *J Diabetes Sci Technol.* 2016;10(1):35-41.
12. Plante TB, Urrea B, MacFarlane ZT, et al. Validation of the instant blood pressure smartphone app. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016;176(5):700-2.
13. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults. *Hypertension.* 2018;71(6):e13-e115.
14. Walsh JA, Creighton A, Annis IE, et al. Mobile health technologies for managing chronic conditions: systematic review. *J Med Internet Res.* 2019;21(4):e11984.
15. Dunn J, Runge R, Snyder M. Wearables and the medical revolution. *Per Med.* 2018;15(5):429-48.
16. Cadmus-Bertram L, Wang JB, Patterson RE. Web-based self-monitoring for weight loss among overweight/obese women: a randomized controlled trial. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2013;21(9):1789-97.
17. Turakhia MP, Desai M, Hedlin H, et al. Rationale and design of a large-scale, app-based study to identify cardiac arrhythmias using a smartwatch: The Apple Heart Study. *Am Heart J.* 2019;207:66-75.
18. Monaghesh E, Hajizadeh A. The role of telehealth during COVID-19 outbreak: a systematic review based on current evidence. *BMC Public Health.* 2020;20(1):1193.
19. Shin G, Jarrahi MH, Fei Y, et al. Wearable activity trackers, accuracy, adoption, acceptance and health impact: A systematic literature review. *J Biomed Inform.* 2019;93:103153.

20. Heart T, Kalderon E. Older adults: are they ready to adopt health-related ICT? *Int J Med Inform.* 2013;82(11):e209-31.
21. Reed S, Shell R, Kassis K, et al. Applying adult learning practices in medical education. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care.* 2014;44(6):170-81.
22. Ajana B. Digital health and the biopolitics of the quantified self. *Digit Health.* 2017;3:2055207616689509.
23. Griggs S, Redeker NS, Jeon S, et al. Feasibility of wearable technology for promoting physical activity in heart failure self-care. *Appl Nurs Res.* 2020;54:151230.
24. George SM, Hamilton A, Baker RS. How do patients actually use continuous glucose monitors? The patterns of CGM use and barriers to adherence. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* 2015;17(7):471-6.
25. Steinhubl SR, Muse ED, Topol EJ. The emerging field of mobile health. *Sci Transl Med.* 2015;7(283):283rv3.
26. Kumar S, Nilsen WJ, Abernethy A, et al. Mobile health technology evaluation: the mHealth evidence workshop. *Am J Prev Med.* 2013;45(2):228-36.
27. Almalki M, Gray K, Sanchez FM. The use of self-quantification systems for personal health information: big data management activities and prospects. *Health Inf Sci Syst.* 2015;3(Suppl 1):S1.
28. Topol E. *The creative destruction of medicine: how the digital revolution will create better health care.* New York: Basic Books; 2012.
29. Swan M. Emerging patient-driven health care models: an examination of health social networks, consumer personalized medicine and quantified self-tracking. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2009;6(2):492-525.
30. Terry NP. Regulatory disruption and arbitrage in health-care data protection. *Yale J Health Policy Law Ethics.* 2017;17(1):143-204.
31. Whitehead L, Seaton P. The effectiveness of self-management mobile phone and tablet apps in long-term condition management: a systematic review. *J Med Internet Res.* 2016;18(5):e97.
32. Burke LE, Ma J, Azar KM, et al. Current science on consumer use of mobile health for cardiovascular disease prevention: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2015;132(12):1157-213.
33. Rajan S, Hammer C, Srivastava A. Addressing the global chronic disease burden: new approaches to health system strengthening. *Glob Health Action.* 2015;8:27450.
34. Maddison R, Pfaeffli L, Whittaker R, et al. A mobile phone intervention increases physical activity in people with cardiovascular disease: results from the HEART randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2015;22(6):701-9.
35. Gordon WJ, Landman A, Zhang H, Bates DW. Beyond validation: getting health apps into clinical practice. *NPJ Digit Med.* 2020;3:14.
36. Schnall R, Rojas M, Bakken S, et al. A user-centered model for designing consumer mobile health (mHealth) applications (apps). *J Biomed Inform.* 2016;60:243-51.
37. World Health Organization. *Digital health.* Geneva: WHO; 2021. Available from: <https://www.who.int/health-topics/digital-health>
38. Riley WT, Rivera DE, Atienza AA, et al. Health behavior models in the age of mobile interventions: are our theories up to the task? *Transl Behav Med.* 2011;1(1):53-71.
39. Bates DW, Saria S, Ohno-Machado L, et al. Big data in health care: using analytics to identify and manage high-risk and high-cost patients. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2014;33(7):1123-31.
40. Kumar S, Nilsen W, Pavel M, Srivastava M. Mobile health: revolutionizing healthcare through transdisciplinary research. *Computer.* 2013;46(1):28-35.
41. Zhang P, Schmidt DC, White J, Lenz G. Applying software patterns to address interoperability in device-to-device healthcare systems. *IEEE Trans Inf Technol Biomed.* 2004;8(4):527-34.
42. Franklin VL, Waller A, Pagliari C, Greene SA. A randomized controlled trial of Sweet Talk, a text-messaging system to support young people with diabetes. *Diabet Med.* 2006;23(12):1332-8.

43. Kim BY, Lee J. Smart devices for older adults managing chronic disease: a scoping review. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2017;5(5):e69.
44. Mercer K, Giangregorio L, Schneider E, et al. Acceptance of commercially available wearable activity trackers among adults aged over 50 and with chronic illness: a mixed-methods evaluation. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2016;4(1):e7.
45. Price M, Bellwood P, Kitson N, et al. Learning and performance outcomes of mental health staff training in digital health technologies: a systematic review. *Int J Med Inform*. 2018;116:36-50.
46. Car J, Sheikh A. E-health and the future of healthcare. *J Roy Soc Med*. 2004;97(5):207-10.
47. Eysenbach G. What is e-health? *J Med Internet Res*. 2001;3(2):e20.
48. Black AD, Car J, Pagliari C, et al. The impact of eHealth on the quality and safety of health care: a systematic overview. *PLoS Med*. 2011;8(1):e1000387.

